



City of Port St. Lucie
Procurement Management Division
India Barr, Procurement Contracting Officer, II
121 SW Port St. Lucie Blvd., Port St. Lucie, FL 34984

EVALUATION TABULATION

RFP No. 20260050

Armed Security Guard Services

RESPONSE DEADLINE: December 24, 2025 at 3:00 pm

Report Generated: Thursday, March 5, 2026

PHASE 1

EVALUATION CRITERIA

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Qualifications/Experience	Points Based	30 (30% of Total)

Description:

- This section outlines the professional qualification, specialized experience, expertise, and qualifications of the company. Include formal education credentials, specialized training, and recognized certifications that demonstrate proficiency. Include a brief history of the Proposer (years in business, annual volume of work over past five (5) years, company ownership, officers, etc.), Identify whether these key individuals gained experience within the company or outside the company and with whom, years worked. Include an organizational chart and/or workflow chart to identify each key role. Relevant detailed overview of professional roles held, highlighting responsibilities, accomplishments, and career progression. References on from five (5) existing firms to which it has provided these services in the past five (5) years or which it is under Contract for such services presently.

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Licensing, Background Checks and Staff Training	Points Based	25 (25% of Total)

Description:

Security Guard licensing, background checks, ongoing training programs.

EVALUATION TABULATION

RFP No. 20260050

Armed Security Guard Services

Licensing: All security personnel must hold valid and current **state-issued security guard licenses** in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. Vendors are responsible for maintaining documentation of licensing and ensuring guards remain in good standing throughout the contract term.

Background Checks: Vendors must conduct thorough **background investigations** on all assigned personnel, including criminal history, employment verification, and reference checks. Documentation of completed background checks must be available upon request to confirm compliance and suitability of staff.

Ongoing Training Programs: Security personnel must participate in **continuing education and training programs** to maintain professional standards. Training should include, at minimum:

- Emergency response procedures
- Customer service and communication skills
- Workplace safety and hazard awareness
- Updates on relevant laws, regulations, and best practices
- Open Carry - Interested parties must include in their response the measures they have taken with their staff to inform and train them on the change in rules correctly, and what is acceptable behavior while carrying vs. not carrying, etc.

The vendor shall provide records of training completion and ensure that all guards remain current with required certifications and professional development.

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Service Approach & Methodology	Points Based	25 (25% of Total)

Description:

Reporting systems such as “Belfry” or “like” competitors are required. Proposers shall include a detailed overview of which reporting system they intend to use in their proposal. Patrol procedures, incident response, reporting protocols.

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Value Added Services	Points Based	15 (15% of Total)

EVALUATION TABULATION
RFP No. 20260050
Armed Security Guard Services

Description:
Use of Mobile Apps, GPS Tracking, Customer Service Enhancements.

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Local Preference	Points Based	5 (5% of Total)

Description:
City Code of Ordinances, [Section 35.14](#), Local Preference in Purchasing or Contracting. Scored by PMD

AGGREGATE SCORES SUMMARY

Vendor	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	Evaluator 5	Total Score (Max Score 100)
All Florida Security Services, Inc.	82	96	98	95	98	93.8
Excelsior Defense, Inc.	90	90	92	74	77	84.6
Veterans Security Corps of America Inc	85	90	88	64	88	83
A & ASSOCIATES	83	88	78	65	89	80.6
DEM Staffing Llc	81	88	65	60	78	74.4
Eagle Security Services, Inc.	65	88	72	62	68	71

VENDOR SCORES BY EVALUATION CRITERIA

Vendor	Qualifications/Experience Points Based 30 Points (30%)	Licensing, Background Checks and Staff Training Points Based 25 Points (25%)	Service Approach & Methodology Points Based 25 Points (25%)	Value Added Services Points Based 15 Points (15%)	Local Preference Points Based 5 Points (5%)	Total Score (Max Score 100)
All Florida Security Services, Inc.	28.2	24.4	22.6	13.6	5	93.8
Excelsior Defense, Inc.	27.6	21.6	22.4	13	0	84.6
Veterans Security Corps of America Inc	27.2	21	21.8	13	0	83
A & ASSOCIATES	26	19.6	21.6	13.4	0	80.6
DEM Staffing Llc	21.6	21.2	20	11.6	0	74.4
Eagle Security Services, Inc.	20.6	21.8	18.8	9.8	0	71

INDIVIDUAL PROPOSAL SCORES

A & ASSOCIATES

Qualifications/Experience | Points Based | 30 Points (30%)

Evaluator 1: 25

Has longstanding full time contracts with large businesses and government agencies in the Florida area. Upper management has decades of experience and has programs for continuous training of all staff.

Evaluator 2: 27

Strong qualifications and experience

Evaluator 3: 30

Very thorough.

EVALUATION TABULATION

RFP No. 20260050

Armed Security Guard Services

Evaluator 4: 21

15+ years continuous operation, owners have direct involvement in company, demonstrates long term compliance and financial responsibility. Principals hold multiple certifications with 25+ years in law enforcement support, private security, operations & financial management. Principle holds a BS in Criminal Justice. Focus on gov't. clients. 5 year revenue trend shows 5 years growth & stability. Formal education credentials & specialized training for key personnel include Active Shooter CE Certification.

Evaluator 5: 27

Based on their proposal, A&A has been providing security services for approx 23 years and possesses a leadership team with over 75 years of combined experience. Mgmt appears to be based in West Palm, which is a bit removed from PSL and raises questions on Mgmt control from that distance. One reference was received from the solicitation, score came back at 35 out 40.

Licensing, Background Checks and Staff Training | Points Based | 25 Points (25%)

Evaluator 1: 20

Licensing and background checks are part of the hiring process. Basic training in operations for reporting patrolling are conducted. Opportunities for more advanced training are provided along with continued training on procedures. Did not see the measures for staff training on Open Carry.

Evaluator 2: 23

Licensing and compliance met

Evaluator 3: 13

The proposal outlines our needs as being for unarmed officers. This does not meet our needs. Very good in the training plan.

Evaluator 4: 19

Maintains all required state licenses & certifications to operate in Florida, all armed personnel hold valid & current state issued firearms licenses which are monitored through internal compliance systems. Company provides structured training programs. Require mandatory annual recertification for armed personnel. Firearms proficiency verified bi-annually. Background check provided by third party. missing detailed log of training.

Evaluator 5: 23

Their background check process appears to be rigorous, as does their training regimen. What was not clear to me was that they had all of the required licenses and types of officers who would be working at our facility, nor did I see in their supplied documentation

any reference to training pertaining to how to manage the State of Florida's new carry policy, which has been a point of contention here in the very near past.

Service Approach & Methodology | Points Based | 25 Points (25%)

Evaluator 1: 23

Utilized online reporting along with GPS tracking. Patrol procedures were listed. Provided examples of reports but not detailed in how App work or if outside reports can be included

Evaluator 2: 24

Comprehensive services model with GPS

Evaluator 3: 20

Meets all requirements except in the armed category.

Evaluator 4: 16

Uses Belfry reporting system, patrol verification using GPS tracking. Provides daily activity & incident reports with time stamped documentation & photographic evidence. Offers a real-time portal for clients access to schedule & reports. Company uses on-site geofencing to monitor officers.

Evaluator 5: 25

They have a very robust Daily Activity/Security Report method that appears to be employed, along with a Geo-Fencing component.

Value Added Services | Points Based | 15 Points (15%)

Evaluator 1: 15

Utilized GPS points for tracking patrols and an online reporting process called Silvertrac.

Evaluator 2: 14

Strong tech driven services with GPS

Evaluator 3: 15

Very high marks for customer service training

Evaluator 4: 9

Uses Belfry reporting system, integrated tech tools, GPS-enabled patrol verification and Geofencing to ensure officer on-site prior to clock-in. 24/7 supervisory oversight.

Evaluator 5: 14

Their use of technology is apparent in the examples they provided in their submission. They also have a component of "public-facing" customer service training as outlined in their training regimen.

Local Preference | Points Based | 5 Points (5%)

Evaluator 1: 0

Not "Local Business," as defined in Code of Ordinances, Section 35.14

Evaluator 2: 0

Not "Local Business," as defined in Code of Ordinances, Section 35.14

Evaluator 3: 0

Not "Local Business," as defined in Code of Ordinances, Section 35.14

Evaluator 4: 0

Not "Local Business," as defined in Code of Ordinances, Section 35.14

Evaluator 5: 0

Not "Local Business," as defined in Code of Ordinances, Section 35.14

All Florida Security Services, Inc.

Qualifications/Experience | Points Based | 30 Points (30%)

Evaluator 1: 25

Administration has over a decade of experience in this field along with other fields related to security. The staff has training requirements prior to employment and after. The references were not detailed in which services were provided.

Evaluator 2: 29

Strong local experience and clear structure

Evaluator 3: 29

Solid all the way around

Evaluator 4: 28

AFS presents as a locally owned, Florida-licensed security agency with 15+ years of operations, municipal incumbency since Oct 2023, and a training-forward, compliance-oriented methodology strengthened by in-house instructor credentials and technology-enabled reporting. Ownership is stable and hands-on; financials show sufficient annual volume to support staffing and supervision.

Evaluator 5: 30

All aspects of All Florida's submittal for this category meet the required consideration points. They provided a clear organizational structure and a specific action plan outlining the day-to-day liaison among their management team, on-site security staff, and our personnel. Based on my direct knowledge of their operations, their experience primarily includes public-facing security services; routine coordination with City representatives and external agencies such as PSLPD; strict adherence to agreed-upon, documented post orders; and consistent, coherent incident documentation, with routine evaluation of escalation protocols. They possess over 15 years of operational experience within the City. Three of their references responded to Procurement's inquiry, and each provided a perfect score.

Licensing, Background Checks and Staff Training | Points Based | 25 Points (25%)

Evaluator 1: 25

Staff is required to pass background checks and have licenses according to laws and regulations. Continued training after employment is conducted including open carry certifications.

Evaluator 2: 24

Full licensing compliance with rigorous structure

Evaluator 3: 25

Meets all criteria. The continued training sessions and covering regular target practice is a great benefit.

Evaluator 4: 24

Structured & Documented: • Mandatory monthly CE (e.g., Active Shooter, Legal Framework, Professionalism) • Annual recertifications: Handcuffing, Baton, Pepper Spray, CPR/AED, Stop the Bleed • Bi-annual firearms proficiency with target photo verification • CE certificates maintained digitally • Internal compliance tracking via ADP & Belfry

Evaluator 5: 24

Florida’s background screening process, as outlined in its bid, meets the primary requirements. They implement a robust training regimen, as detailed in their proposal, and as the incumbent, I have personally observed portions of this training conducted on-site at our facility. Their training program also includes “Open Carry Awareness” instruction—an important and increasingly relevant topic that we have had to address at the MFEC over the past year.

Service Approach & Methodology | Points Based | 25 Points (25%)

Evaluator 1: 15

Belfry is utilized for reporting which includes GPS location and online entry. Service methodology was not relayed clearly.

Evaluator 2: 24

Disciplined patrol and incident protocols

Evaluator 3: 25

Meets all requirements.

Evaluator 4: 24

AFS leads in training rigor and technology integration, offering monthly CE, advanced recertifications, and a robust compliance matrix supported by Belfry’s GPS/NFC system.

Evaluator 5: 25

Their overall staffing plan and service approach align with the requirements outlined in the RFP. Based on our experience as the incumbent, they use the Belfry reporting system, completing one cumulative daily report in addition to two end-of-shift reports. Incident reports are submitted promptly as issues arise, and there is consistent adherence to agreed-upon, documented post orders and patrol schedules.

Value Added Services | Points Based | 15 Points (15%)

Evaluator 1: 12

Belfry is utilized for reporting which includes GPS location and online entry. Service methodology was not relayed clearly.

Evaluator 2: 14

Tech driven enhancements with mobile apps

Evaluator 3: 14

Meets all the requirements.

Evaluator 4: 14

Uses Belfry reporting system, integrated tech tools, GPS-enabled patrol verification and Geofencing to ensure officer on-site prior to clock-in. 24/7 supervisory oversight.

Evaluator 5: 14

Their use of current technology to deliver timely daily and incident reports exceeds industry standards. I also know firsthand that a significant portion of their routine training focuses on public-facing protocols—an essential component for a highly trafficked facility such as ours. Additionally, their “Tab 4” Value-Added Services section was robust, especially in the areas of Ancillary Services, Supervisory Monitoring, and Operational Flexibility.

Local Preference | Points Based | 5 Points (5%)

Evaluator 1: 5

“Local Business,” as defined in Code of Ordinances, Section 35.14

Evaluator 2: 5

“Local Business,” as defined in Code of Ordinances, Section 35.14

Evaluator 3: 5

“Local Business,” as defined in Code of Ordinances, Section 35.14

Evaluator 4: 5

“Local Business,” as defined in Code of Ordinances, Section 35.14

Evaluator 5: 5

“Local Business,” as defined in Code of Ordinances, Section 35.14

DEM Staffing Llc

Qualifications/Experience | Points Based | 30 Points (30%)

Evaluator 1: 26

The company has been in operation for 5 years with a variety of event based coverage.

Evaluator 2: 27

Strong qualifications and experience

Evaluator 3: 14

While there were a lot of resumes of staff included. I didn't get see a clear workflow organizational chart anywhere. Did not see 5 references.

Evaluator 4: 21

Org chart and resumes; no 5-year revenue disclosed.

Evaluator 5: 20

DEM appears to be approximately six years old, placing it just above the minimum experience requirement. Resumes for what I believe to be the administrative staff were included; however, no organizational chart, workflow diagram, or chain-of-command structure was provided to clearly define key roles and reporting relationships. Given that DEM is based in West Palm, there was also no detail outlining their communication process or action plan for managing and overseeing security staff remotely. This lack of clarity makes it difficult to assess how operational oversight and responsiveness would be handled from a distance. Four of their references responded to the inquiry, and each of those four respondents gave them a perfect score.

Licensing, Background Checks and Staff Training | Points Based | 25 Points (25%)

Evaluator 1: 20

Guards are required some basic certifications upon hiring. Little detail on continued training procedures

Evaluator 2: 23

Licensing and compliance met

Evaluator 3: 22

Seems solid in this regard.

Evaluator 4: 18

Licensing & checks; annual refreshers/CPR; Open Carry awareness mentioned but light on specifics.

Evaluator 5: 23

Their background check process hits all the marks, including the National Sexual Predator Database. Their licensing and training protocols all appear adequate, including the training in and around FL open carry law.

Service Approach & Methodology | Points Based | 25 Points (25%)

Evaluator 1: 20

Utilizes RFID for patrol check in coordination with the Belfry system. Would "install" RFID checkpoints

Evaluator 2: 24

comprehensive services and patrol plan

Evaluator 3: 20

Wasn't a lot of detail about how they utilize their Belfry system.

Evaluator 4: 14

Manual DARs; limited tech detail; platform not named

Evaluator 5: 22

DEM references the use of RFID scans for patrol routes and makes a brief, one-time mention of the Belfry reporting system; however, they provide limited detail regarding how the system would be fully utilized or what enhanced capabilities it would offer. Their patrol procedures and overall statement of work generally align with the scope outlined in our solicitation.

Value Added Services | Points Based | 15 Points (15%)

Evaluator 1: 15

Uses Belfry app with GPS tracking to submit reports.

Evaluator 2: 14

Tech driven with gps

Evaluator 3: 9

Moderate amount in this category

Evaluator 4: 7

Minimal value-add tech.

Evaluator 5: 13

Their value-add section is fairly robust... RFID / GPS / Quarterly Analysis Reports / and Emergency Notification Integration.

Local Preference | Points Based | 5 Points (5%)

Evaluator 1: 0

Not "Local Business," as defined in Code of Ordinances, Section 35.14

Evaluator 2: 0

Not "Local Business," as defined in Code of Ordinances, Section 35.14

Evaluator 3: 0

Not "Local Business," as defined in Code of Ordinances, Section 35.14

Evaluator 4: 0

Not "Local Business," as defined in Code of Ordinances, Section 35.14

Evaluator 5: 0

Not "Local Business," as defined in Code of Ordinances, Section 35.14

Eagle Security Services, Inc.

Qualifications/Experience | Points Based | 30 Points (30%)

Evaluator 1: 15

Eagles general information form states that the business has been in operation for 10 years but does not reflect this in the proposal. Only found 4 references submitted.

Evaluator 2: 27

Strong municipal experience and staffing

Evaluator 3: 18

not really an organizational flow chart and only 3 references

Evaluator 4: 21

Organizational and leadership history; limited education and revenue specifics.

Evaluator 5: 22

While their executive summary effectively highlighted key factors and made them easy to discern, it provided limited insight into management’s credentials/acquired experience and into the proposed organizational structure, including reporting lines. This is a critical consideration given that the firm appears to be based in Palm Springs and is not local, raising questions about how reporting relationships and management oversight would be handled remotely. Of the three references provided, one responded and rated them 39 out of 40.

Licensing, Background Checks and Staff Training | Points Based | 25 Points (25%)

Evaluator 1: 20

The administration and staff are required to have background screening in accordance with regulations. Ongoing training is conducted however no demonstration of what training is conducted.

Evaluator 2: 23

Compliance with licensing and insurance

Evaluator 3: 25

Satisfactory

Evaluator 4: 18

• State licensing (Class D/G)• Mentions annual firearms qualification• Limited detail on continuing education or advanced modules
Licensing & checks; annual firearms/CPR; no Open Carry module described.

Evaluator 5: 23

Their background checks appear consistent with other respondents, with the added inclusion of screening through the National Sexual Predator/Sex Offender Database—something not all respondents specifically noted in this section. However, regarding ongoing training, they did not mention any training related to Florida’s carry laws.

Service Approach & Methodology | Points Based | 25 Points (25%)

Evaluator 1: 20

Will utilize Belfry or an equivalent approved platform suggesting that these practices are not currently followed.

Evaluator 2: 24

Clear patrol plan and risk management

Evaluator 3: 20

Said they would use something like Belfry but doesn't appear to be part of their methodology

Evaluator 4: 15

Manual DARs and incident logs • No mention of GPS or client portal • Relies on supervisor spot checks

Evaluator 5: 15

This security provider did not clearly specify the reporting system they would utilize, stating only that it would be “Belfry or equivalent.” Additionally, they did not provide real-time examples or sample reports demonstrating what their daily communications would look like. I view this as very important tool that is not only used by the Security provider, but our staff when these have to be forwarded to Risk Mgmt or PD. Without that level of detail, it is difficult to fully understand what would be delivered to us, and that uncertainty is reflected in my scoring for this section.

Value Added Services | Points Based | 15 Points (15%)

Evaluator 1: 10

Will utilize Belfry or an equivalent approved platform suggesting that these practices are not currently followed.

Evaluator 2: 14

Tech Driven reporting

Evaluator 3: 9

Forward facing customer training

Evaluator 4: 8

Limited tech/value-adds.

Evaluator 5: 8

While Tab 4 in their proposal identifies the content therein as "Value Added Services", on review, a good portion of what is there in my view does meet the criteria as outlined for this section.

Local Preference | Points Based | 5 Points (5%)

Evaluator 1: 0

Not "Local Business," as defined in Code of Ordinances, Section 35.14

Evaluator 2: 0

Not "Local Business," as defined in Code of Ordinances, Section 35.14

Evaluator 3: 0

Not "Local Business," as defined in Code of Ordinances, Section 35.14

Evaluator 4: 0

Not "Local Business," as defined in Code of Ordinances, Section 35.14

Evaluator 5: 0

Not "Local Business," as defined in Code of Ordinances, Section 35.14

Excelsior Defense, Inc.

Qualifications/Experience | Points Based | 30 Points (30%)

Evaluator 1: 30

25 years of experience and primary staff recruitment is from military background. References are from city or county facilities.

Evaluator 2: 28

Strong municipal experience and strong leaders

Evaluator 3: 30

They have a complete workflow and current references including FPUA

EVALUATION TABULATION

RFP No. 20260050

Armed Security Guard Services

Evaluator 4: 26

Org basics and leadership experience; less detail on formal education and 5-year revenue.

Evaluator 5: 24

The company management structure and qualifications appear adequate and meet the stated criteria. I do, however, have concerns about their location, as they do not appear to have a local operation or management team on the Treasure Coast; they appear to be mostly west coast- and central FL-based. As for references, they had three respondents to Procurement's survey. All three said they would contract with them again; however, one of the respondents gave what I would estimate as an average rating on "reliability," which raises a touch of concern.

Licensing, Background Checks and Staff Training | Points Based | 25 Points (25%)

Evaluator 1: 20

All guards and staff adhere to strict background and certifications prior to hire. Training for assignment is layed out. The continued education and training is not completely explained.

Evaluator 2: 24

Full compliance with licensing and screening

Evaluator 3: 22

On paper they seem to do thorough training initially but nothing about ongoing training.

Evaluator 4: 20

• State licensing compliance • Annual firearms qualification • Includes CPR/AED and First Aid • Mentions “ongoing professional development,” but lacks granular CE topics Excelsior Defense offers a middle ground: some tech and training detail, but less transparency on CE depth and insurance endorsements.

Evaluator 5: 22

From appearances, they meet all the required background checks, but fell slightly short in training, as I did not see in their supplied documentation any reference to training pertaining to how to manage the State of Florida's new carry policy, which has been a point of contention here in the very near past.

Service Approach & Methodology | Points Based | 25 Points (25%)

EVALUATION TABULATION

Request For Proposal (RFP) - Armed Security Guard Services

Page 17

Evaluator 1: 25

Connecteam is utilized for scheduling, reporting and logs are all accessible by the city

Evaluator 2: 24

strong operational plan with GPS reporting

Evaluator 3: 25

Will have both hard copy and digital post orders on ConnectTeams software

Evaluator 4: 18

• Digital reporting system (unnamed) • Mentions mobile app for officers • No explicit GPS/geofence or client portal features

Evaluator 5: 20

They appear to be using a system called Connect Teams for their real-time reporting (which I'm not familiar with), and only at a very high level, based on the provided overview. More details or examples of what the reports would look like, their frequency, would have been more helpful in evaluating this section.

Value Added Services | Points Based | 15 Points (15%)

Evaluator 1: 15

Connecteam and GPS is utilized

Evaluator 2: 14

Tech driven with real time reporting

Evaluator 3: 15

They use GPS in their software and thorough training about onsite needs including customer service

Evaluator 4: 10

Mentions mobile app; limited GPS detail.

Evaluator 5: 11

They employ a geo-fence tracking system; there were no other "Customer Service Aspects/Enhancements" that stood out to me.

Local Preference | Points Based | 5 Points (5%)

Evaluator 1: 0
Not "Local Business," as defined in Code of Ordinances, Section 35.14
Evaluator 2: 0
Not "Local Business," as defined in Code of Ordinances, Section 35.14
Evaluator 3: 0
Not "Local Business," as defined in Code of Ordinances, Section 35.14
Evaluator 4: 0
Not "Local Business," as defined in Code of Ordinances, Section 35.14
Evaluator 5: 0
Not "Local Business," as defined in Code of Ordinances, Section 35.14

Veterans Security Corps of America Inc	
Qualifications/Experience Points Based 30 Points (30%)	
Evaluator 1: 30	Veterans has been in business for 16 years with a staff that is highly certified prior to employment. References are comparable to our facility.
Evaluator 2: 28	Strong municipal experience
Evaluator 3: 28	Overall good. Felt the workflow was a little sparse
Evaluator 4: 23	Veteran-owned; org chart; military leadership; revenue not detailed.
Evaluator 5: 27	

The Veterans' submittal for this category meets most of the required consideration points. Although they are based in Fort Lauderdale, they provided a clear organizational structure and chain-of-command chart, along with a specific action plan outlining the day-to-day liaison between their management team, on-site security staff, and our personnel. They are currently contracted with St. Lucie County and therefore possess familiarity with the local area and operating environment. The combined experience of their management team exceeds 150 years. Five references were provided; one responded and scored them 38 out of 40.

Licensing, Background Checks and Staff Training | Points Based | 25 Points (25%)

Evaluator 1: 20

Staff is highly qualified and undergoes mandatory checks compliant with regulations. Little description of continued training.

Evaluator 2: 24

Full Compliance with licensing and screening

Evaluator 3: 20

Satisfactory, would have liked to see more info on training programs

Evaluator 4: 18

Licensing & checks; firearms/CPR; CE noted, Open Carry not expanded

Evaluator 5: 23

Veteran's proposal outlines all required licensing and credentials, as well as a comprehensive background check process, including screening through the National Sexual Predator Database. Their training regimen appears to be consistent with industry standards and comparable to other proposers. However, there was no reference to specific training or staff awareness related to Florida's new carry laws—an issue that remains a particularly relevant and sensitive topic for our facility, as noted in previous comments.

Service Approach & Methodology | Points Based | 25 Points (25%)

Evaluator 1: 20

Uses Belfry app for reporting and logging day to day operations. Described supervisor as part of daily operations but left unclear as to whether this position is included in the pricing.

Evaluator 2: 24

Clear patrol plan

Evaluator 3: 25

Uses Belfry

Evaluator 4: 15

Manual/basic reporting; platform not named

Evaluator 5: 25

Veteran’s provided a detailed Service Approach and staffing plan that closely mirrors our stated needs. They also included sample daily activity reports and outlined how patrol tracking, staffing data, and incident reporting are managed and documented through the Belfry system.

Value Added Services | Points Based | 15 Points (15%)

Evaluator 1: 15

Utilizes Belfry and GPS for reporting along with body cameras.

Evaluator 2: 14

Tech driven integration and gps tracking

Evaluator 3: 15

GPS and geofencing via Belfry

Evaluator 4: 8

Limited tech/value-adds documented

Evaluator 5: 13

There was no specific section in their proposal addressing “Value Added Services.” However, certain elements typically associated with that category—such as app-based reporting and GPS tracking—were referenced within other sections of the proposal.

Local Preference | Points Based | 5 Points (5%)

Evaluator 1: 0

Not “Local Business,” as defined in Code of Ordinances, Section 35.14

Evaluator 2: 0

Not "Local Business," as defined in Code of Ordinances, Section 35.14

Evaluator 3: 0

Not "Local Business," as defined in Code of Ordinances, Section 35.14

Evaluator 4: 0

Not "Local Business," as defined in Code of Ordinances, Section 35.14

Evaluator 5: 0

Not "Local Business," as defined in Code of Ordinances, Section 35.14