

**CONSERVATION AND COASTAL  
PSL EAR Comments October 2020**

SOURCE	ISSUES OR COMMENTS	STAFF NOTES	RESULT	DATE
DEO ORC	<b>Comment 1 a:</b> DEO recommends strengthening some of the proposed Perils of Flood policies and make them more specific (reference 5.1.3.4 and 5.3.1.9)	Updated both policies to make them mandatory	changed "may" or "will" to "shall"	10/7/20
			Added Policy 5.1.3.5.	10/12/20
DEO ORC	<b>Comment 1 b:</b> DEO recommends but does not require updating policies to make them mandatory (reference 5.1.3; 5.1.3.3 and 5.1.5.9)	Updated objective and both policies to make them mandatory	changed "may" to "shall"	10/7/20
		Updated policies 5.1.5.10 and 5.1.5.11	changed "may" to "shall"	10/12/20
DEO ORC	<b>Comment 1 c:</b> DEO recommends that the City incorporate additional supporting documentation such as sea level rise maps.	Added two maps to DIA CHHA Map CHHA on page 5-28; Brief narrative and Sea Level Rise flood risk map on page 5-32	<a href="#">CHHA Map</a> <a href="#">Sea Level Rise Viewer (NOAA)</a>	10/9/20
DEO ORC	<b>Comment 1 d:</b> DEO recommends that the City seek funds to conduct a study on the impact of sea level rise. (reference 5.3.1.4)	Updated 5.3.1.4; Added Policy 5.1.3.5. to partner with ACOE	changed "may" to "shall"	10/7/20
DEO ORC	<b>Comment 2:</b> The amendment does not seek to update the current Coastal High Hazard Area map which is based on outdated data. The current CHHA map (CSF-4) should be revised prior to adoption based on updated data (2016-17) that was provided by the Florida Division of Emergency Management to the County Emergency Management.	Added two maps to DIA CHHA Map	Added 2 Maps	10/12/20

**TRANSPORTATION**  
**PSL EAR Comments October 2020**

SOURCE	ISSUES OR COMMENTS	STAFF NOTES	RESULT	DATE
<b>GENERAL COMMENTS</b>				
<b>FDOT Technical Assistance</b>	<p><b>Technical Assistance Comment #1</b></p> <p>Page 2-51 indicates that there is a list of projects in <b>Table 2-7</b> (Capital Improvement Plan – State Roadway Projects) that are on the St. Lucie TPO List of Priority Projects and Transportation Alternative Projects that are eligible for future FDOT funding. The projects identified in the table appear to be already programmed/funded in the FDOT Adopted Work Program, since they already have financial project numbers assigned to them.</p> <p><b>Recommendation for Technical Assistance Comment #1</b></p> <p>The City should consider modifying the narrative on page 2-51 to reflect the information and source that are included in Table 2-7.</p>	Noted. These projects have funding codes so narrative should be revised to note that FDOT has funded these projects.	Updated Page 2-52 to state that the projects are included in the FDOT Adopted Work Program.	9/21/20
<b>FDOT Technical Assistance</b>	<p><b>Technical Assistance Comment #2</b></p> <p>Projects in the FDOT Adopted Work Program are always subject to Legislative approval of the Department’s appropriation request in the work program year that the project is scheduled to be committed. <b>Table 2-7</b> does not inform that projects listed with particular funding amounts and specific scheduling are subject to changes.</p> <p><b>Recommendation for Technical Assistance Comment #2</b></p> <p>The City should include language associated with Table 2-7 advising that amounts and years of appropriation listed are subject to change based on Legislative appropriations.</p>	Noted. This is important to include as a disclaimer.	Updated Page 2-52 to state that the funding is subject to change based upon Legislative appropriations.	9/21/20

**TRANSPORTATION**  
**PSL EAR Comments October 2020**

SOURCE	ISSUES OR COMMENTS	STAFF NOTES	RESULT	DATE
<b>FDOT</b> <b>Technical Assistance</b>	<p><b>Technical Assistance Comment #3</b>  <b>Table 2-8</b> (Roadway Needs Assessment) Does not indicate if the listed roadway needs are addressed as part of planning and programming documents. This information would be useful to include in this table.</p> <p><b>Recommendation for Technical Assistance Comment #3</b>  The City should consider adding a status column to Table 2-8 to reflect whether the needs are identified in an adopted work program, a Long Range Transportation Cost Feasible Plan, TPO Priority List, and/or Long Range Transportation Needs Plan project.</p>		Added a column on table to indicate funding source.	9/30/20
<b>FDOT</b> <b>Technical Assistance</b>	<p><b>Technical Assistance Comment #4</b> (Perils of Flood)  The 2015 Florida Legislature directed jurisdictions that have a Coastal Management Element as a part of their comprehensive plan to include a redevelopment component with principles that must be used to eliminate inappropriate and unsafe development in the coastal areas – when opportunities arise. The 2020 update to the City of Port St. Lucie Comprehensive Plan will include strategies that prepare the city for the current and future risks of high-tide events, storm surge, flash floods, stormwater runoff and the related impacts of sealevel rise.</p> <p><b>Recommendation for Technical Assistance Comment #4</b>  The City should consider clarifying how other infrastructure (e.g., transportation) fits into its flood protection and adaptation strategies.</p>	Noted. PSL will add Objective 2.3.4. to speak to ways that the city is preparing the roads from flooding.	New Objective 2.3.4. and two related policies.	9/21/20

**TRANSPORTATION**  
**PSL EAR Comments October 2020**

SOURCE	ISSUES OR COMMENTS	STAFF NOTES	RESULT	DATE
<b>FDOT Technical Assistance</b>	<p>Two long range transportation plans are referenced: the plan focused on the St. Lucie TPO’s metropolitan planning area (i.e., St. Lucie County), the latest adopted one being the Go40 Long Range Transportation Plan (2016); and the regional long range transportation plan (RLRTP) developed by the Martin, St. Lucie, and Indian River M/TPOs through the Treasure Coast Transportation Council, the latest adopted one being the 2040 Treasure Coast RLRTP (2017). Would review document to confirm intended plan(s) is(are) cited (e.g., should both plans be cited in the Introduction on p. 2-1?).</p>	<p>Noted. PSL will reference both documents for consistency.</p>	<p>Updated narrative on 2-1 to reference both plans.</p>	<p>9/21/20</p>
<b>FDOT Technical Assistance</b>	<p>Would review/make revisions to fully reflect (1) FDOT’s change from level of service (LOS) standards to LOS targets by policy in 2017 (policy attached), and (2) the policy’s application to the State Highway System (SHS). The SHS is not limited to Strategic Intermodal System facilities (e.g., also includes state roads such as US 1). The Florida Intrastate Highway System (FIHS) has been replaced so the section on the FIHS on pp. 2-13 and 2-14 and any other references to the FIHS should be removed.</p>	<p>Noted.</p>	<p>Updated narrative on 2-13 about Strategic Intermodal System and deleted narrative on Florida Intrastate Highway System (FIHS). The reference to LOS targets is discussed on 2-22.</p>	<p>9/21/20</p>

**PARKS AND RECREATION  
PSL EAR Comments October 2020**

SOURCE	ISSUES OR COMMENTS	STAFF NOTES	RESULT	DATE
<b>GENERAL COMMENTS</b>				
<b>TCRPC Technical Assistance</b>	Council suggests that the Recreation and Open Space Element be enhanced by the inclusion of level of service standards for various recreation amenities (such as ball fields, playgrounds, etc.) to provide a more comprehensive picture of the provision of a high quality recreation system and justification and support for the collection of impact fees beyond simple land acquisition.	Noted. Discussed with Parks and this change is not recommended at this time.	No Change	10/12/20