

| Evaluation Summary | |
|---------------------------|---|
| RFP #: | 20200081 |
| RFP Name: | Design Services for the Glades-Tradition |
| Issuing Officer: | Jason Bezak |

| Offeror Name | Graph # | Initial Score | Validated (Final) Score |
|---|----------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|
| CAPTEC Engineering, Inc. | 1 | 815.00 | 861 |
| Culpepper & Terpening, Inc. | 2 | 838.00 | 858 |
| Holtz Engineering, Inc. | 3 | 804.00 | 871 |
| Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. | 4 | 806.00 | 857 |
| Velcon Engineering, LLC <u>NOT SHORTLISTED</u> | 5 | 714.00 | 714 |

RFP #: 20200081
 RFP Name: Design Services for the Glades-Tradition Reuse Water Main
 Issuing Officer: Jason Bezak
 Date Reviewed:

Reminder - Do not change formulas in Validated Score columns.

Offeror Name
 CAPTEC Engineering, Inc.

Average Initial Rating 814.50
 Average Validated Score 861.00

| Question Type | # | Question | Answer | Possible Points | Clyde Cuffy | | | | | John Lamb | | | | | Pierre Vignor | | | | | Rich Shoenborn | | | | | Tom Salvador | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|---|---|--------|-----------------|----------------|-------|---|------------------|---|-----------------|----------------|--------|---|------------------|---|-----------------|----------------|--------|---|------------------|---|-----------------|----------------|--------|---|------------------|--|-----------------|-----------|--------|---|-----------|---|--------|
| | | | | | Initial Rating | Score | Initial Comments | Validated Rating | Validated Comments | Validated Score | Initial Rating | Score | Initial Comments | Validated Rating | Validated Comments | Validated Score | Initial Rating | Score | Initial Comments | Validated Rating | Validated Comments | Validated Score | Initial Rating | Score | Initial Comments | Validated Rating | Validated Comments | Validated Score | | | | | | |
| MS | 1 | Proposer's Location - Location shall mean a business which meets the following criteria: # of Miles from City Hall to Assigned Staff's Office location 0-40 = 50 Points 61-80 = 40 Points 81-100 = 30 Points 101-120 = 20 Points 121-140 = 10 Points 140+ = 0 Points | | 50 | Excellent | 50.00 | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | Excellent | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | Excellent | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | Excellent | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | Excellent | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | 50.00 | | | | | | |
| MS | 2 | Woman/Veteran/Minority Owned Business. Does the Primary firm hold a Minority Business Certification by the Florida Department of Management Services, as described in section 8 of the document? If so, please attach. | | 50 | Poor | 0.00 | Not a Minority Business. | Poor | Not a Minority Business. | 0.00 | Poor | 0.00 | Not a Minority Business. | Poor | Not a Minority Business. | 0.00 | Poor | 0.00 | Not a Minority Business. | Poor | Not a Minority Business. | 0.00 | Poor | 0.00 | Not a Minority Business. | Poor | Not a Minority Business. | 0.00 | | | | | | |
| MS | 3 | Proposer's Work Plans This section should include, but is not limited to, special concerns or accommodations needed for a successful project. | | 110 | Good | 82.50 | Work plan describes the QC reviews that occur during stages of design. | Good | firm presented a well thought together plan | 82.50 | Good | 82.50 | All plan goals will be SMART. | Excellent | All of the details are accounted for in the plan. | 110.00 | Excellent | 110.00 | Collaboration among teams and using most practical tools | Excellent | Collaboration among teams and using most practical tools | 110.00 | Excellent | 110.00 | Detailed and past performance | Good | Good presentation | 82.50 | Excellent | 110.00 | Calls out the need for review of existing leases on ranch lease land. | Excellent | Explained the need for coordination with FPL and landowners | 110.00 |
| MS | 4 | Project plan A project plan is a formal document designed to guide the control and execution of a project. A project plan is the key to a successful project and is the most important document that needs to be created when starting any business project. | | 110 | Good | 82.50 | Applicant has a detailed project plan | Excellent | firm presented a route analysis matrix to evaluate the pros and cons of multiple routes for the proposed IQ line. | 110.00 | Excellent | 110.00 | Appears to cover the entire scope of work with additional considerations. | Excellent | Appears to cover the entire scope of work with additional considerations. | 110.00 | Excellent | 110.00 | Complete | Excellent | Complete | 110.00 | Excellent | 110.00 | Detailed and past performance | Good | See above. | 82.50 | Excellent | 110.00 | | Excellent | | 110.00 |
| MS | 5 | How do you propose management plan for this project? Management Plan. This section shall describe the Firm's detailed plans for accomplishing the objectives of the project. Attach and include methods for objectives. | | | Good | | Detailed management plan provided, which includes, planning, organizing, | | | | Excellent | | Everything seems explicitly articulated. | Excellent | Everything seems explicitly articulated. | | Excellent | | | Excellent | | | Excellent | | A plan was thought out specific to this project and presented in a believable | Good | See above. | | | | | | | |
| MS | | 1) Planning | | 20 | Good | 15.00 | project planning details provided | Excellent | optional routes considered | 20.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Fully meets all requirements. | Excellent | Fully meets all requirements. | 20.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Complete | Excellent | Complete | 20.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Specific to this Utility and this project & detailed | Excellent | CapTech is definitely thinking outside the box with their multi route evaluation and analysis matrix. Well done. | 20.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Explained in detail | Excellent | Explained in detail | 20.00 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|----|--|--|-----|----------|-------|--|-----------|--|--------|-----------|--------|--|-----------|---|--------|-----------|--------|--|-----------|--|--------|-----------|--|--|------------|------------|-------|-----------|--------|-------------------------------|-----------|---|--------|
| MS | | 2) organizing | | 10 | Good | 7.50 | organizing details provided | Good | firm seems organized and capable of performing the task | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Fully meets all requirements. | Excellent | Fully meets all requirements. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Complete | Good | Complete | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Specific to this Utility and this project & detailed | Excellent | See above. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Explained in detail | Excellent | Explained in detail | 10.00 |
| MS | | 3) scheduling work | | 20 | Good | 15.00 | scheduling procedures provided | Good | firm is capable of scheduling the work | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Fully meets all requirements. | Excellent | Fully meets all requirements. | 20.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Complete | Good | Complete | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Specific to this Utility and this project & detailed | Good | See above. | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Explained in detail | Excellent | Explained in detail | 20.00 |
| MS | | 4) coordination with the City during design and construction | | 20 | Good | 15.00 | coordinating procedures provided | Good | firm is capable of coordinating with City | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Fully meets all requirements. | Excellent | Fully meets all requirements. | 20.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Complete | Excellent | Complete | 20.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Specific to this Utility and this project & detailed | Good | See above. | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Explained in detail | Excellent | Explained in detail | 20.00 |
| MS | | 5) internal quality assurance and quality control | | 10 | Good | 7.50 | detailed QC plan provided | Good | QA/QC procedures seem adequate | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Fully meets all requirements. | Excellent | Fully meets all requirements. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Complete | Excellent | Complete | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Specific to this Utility and this project & detailed | Good | See above. | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Explained in detail | Excellent | Explained in detail | 10.00 |
| MS | | 6) project administration during design | | 20 | Good | 15.00 | design project administration includes pre- | Good | project administration seems reasonable | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Fully meets all requirements. | Excellent | Fully meets all requirements. | 20.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Complete | Excellent | Complete | 20.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Specific to this Utility and this project & detailed | Good | See above. | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Explained in detail | Excellent | Explained in detail | 20.00 |
| MS | | 7) project administration during construction | | 20 | Good | 15.00 | construction project administration includes responses to RAI shown | Good | project administration seems reasonable | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Fully meets all requirements. | Excellent | Fully meets all requirements. | 20.00 | Good | 15.00 | Imperfect | Good | Imperfect | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Specific to this Utility and this project & detailed | Good | See above. | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Explained in detail | Excellent | Explained in detail | 20.00 |
| MS | 6 | Provide a detailed description of your firm's experience with Utility Easements, i.e. Florida Power and Light, Railroad Crossings, etc. | | 50 | Adequate | 25.00 | | Good | firm has experience working with easement agencies | 37.50 | Excellent | 50.00 | Sidewalks, roadways, drainage are all easement projects and applicable. | Excellent | Sidewalks, roadways, drainage are all easement projects and applicable. | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Well informed about railroad and stakeholders easements and right of way | Good | Well informed about railroad and stakeholders easements and right of way - Partial use of existing utility easement missed. | 37.50 | Good | 37.50 | Specific to this Utility and this project & detailed | Good | See above. | 37.50 | Excellent | 50.00 | Explained in detail | Excellent | Explained in detail | 50.00 |
| MS | 7 | Explain the overall approach/intent/requirement of 3 projects similar in scope that you have done in the past ten years that your firm was the primary engineer on. Include internal project management objectives. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Specific to this Utility and this project & detailed | Good | See above. | | | | | | | | |
| MS | | 1) Contact Organization and contact name. Please include a current phone number(s) and email address(es). | | 10 | Good | 7.50 | contact info provided for all 5 projects | Good | contact info provided for all 5 projects | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | All relevant. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Provided | Excellent | Provided | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Specific to this Utility and this project & detailed | Good | See above. | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Included | Excellent | Included | 10.00 |
| MS | | 2) Describe the type of project and major project elements | | 10 | Good | 7.50 | description of project provided | Good | description of project provided | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | All relevant. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Replace, New, Conversions | Excellent | Replace, New, Conversions | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Specific to this Utility and this project & detailed | Good | See above. | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Included | Excellent | Included | 10.00 |
| MS | | 3) Dollar values for design and construction (if the project included other facilities besides a pipeline, i.e. a pumping station or receiving tank or pond, please breakout the costs and lists separately.) | | 10 | Good | 7.50 | design and construction cost provided for each project | Good | design and construction cost provided for each project | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | All relevant. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | 1 million to 4 million | Excellent | 1 million to 4 million | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Specific to this Utility and this project & detailed | Good | See above. | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Included | Excellent | Included | 10.00 |
| MS | | 4) Fluid conveyed | | 10 | Good | 7.50 | information provided as requested | Good | information provided as requested | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | All relevant. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Water, wastewater, sewer conversions | Excellent | Water, wastewater, sewer conversions | 10.00 | Good | 7.50 | Specific to this Utility and this project & detailed | Adequate | | 5.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Water, Sewer and IQ | Excellent | Water, Sewer and IQ | 10.00 |
| MS | | 5) Year project was designed and year the project built and placed into service. | | 10 | Good | 7.50 | Dates provided as requested | Good | Dates provided as requested | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | Timely. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | On going | Excellent | On going | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Specific to this Utility and this project & detailed | Good | See above. | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Included | Excellent | Included | 10.00 |
| MS | | 6) Pipe diameter and length. Please also include a description of the origin facilities and receiving facilities and if they were part of the project or existing. | | 10 | Good | 7.50 | pipe diameter provided | Good | pipe diameter provided | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | All relevant. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | 12 to 24" | Excellent | 12 to 24" | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Specific to this Utility and this project & detailed | Good | See above. | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Explained in detail | Excellent | Explained in detail | 10.00 |
| MS | | 7) Describe all of the services that were provided by your firm for this project. | | 10 | Good | 7.50 | design and permitting services provided for all 5 projects listed. Construction Urban response | Good | design and permitting services provided for all 5 projects listed. Construction Urban response | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | All relevant. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Lead | Excellent | Lead | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Specific to this Utility and this project & detailed | Good | See above. | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Explained in detail | Excellent | Explained in detail | 10.00 |
| MS | | 8) Was the construction location primarily urban or rural? | | 10 | Good | 7.50 | Urban response | Good | Urban response | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | All relevant. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Urban | Excellent | Urban | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Specific to this Utility and this project & detailed | Good | See above. | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Explained in detail | Excellent | Explained in detail | 10.00 |
| MS | | 9) What were some of the major design and construction issues that had to be overcome? | | 10 | Good | 7.50 | No challenges encountered | Good | No challenges encountered | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | Nothing out of the ordinary. | 10.00 | Good | 7.50 | Minimal challenges | Good | Minimal challenges | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Specific to this Utility and this project & detailed | Good | See above. | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Explained in detail | Excellent | Explained in detail | 10.00 |
| MS | | 10) Staff involved on that project and their roles? | | 10 | Good | 7.50 | Staff and their role listed | Good | Staff and their role listed | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | All relevant. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | 4 to 6 | Excellent | 4 to 6 | 10.00 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Good | See above. | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Staff and Team Members Listed | Excellent | Staff and Team Members Listed | 10.00 |
| MS | | 11) List any subconsultants (i.e. surveyors, electrical engineers, construction inspection, etc.) that worked on this project and their role. | | 10 | Good | 7.50 | subconsultants listed | Good | subconsultants listed | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Adequate | Nothing noteworthy | 5.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | yes | Excellent | yes | 10.00 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Good | See above. | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Staff and Team Members Listed | Excellent | Staff and Team Members Listed | 10.00 |
| MS | 8 | Provide a listing of firm's current contracts. | | 50 | Good | 37.50 | contracts listed as provided | Good | contracts listed as provided | 37.50 | Adequate | 25.00 | | Excellent | Better articulated in | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | 14 contracts | Excellent | 14 contracts | 50.00 | Adequate | 25.00 | | Good | See above. | 37.50 | Excellent | 50.00 | Attached | Excellent | Attached | 50.00 |
| MS | 9 | Please complete and attach Form 330 part I and II for evaluation of qualifications and staff/personnel. | | 50 | Good | 37.50 | form 330 provided for each staff/personnel on the team | Good | form 330 provided for each staff/personnel on the team | 37.50 | Excellent | 50.00 | Everyone listed is very qualified and experienced. | Excellent | Everyone listed is very qualified and experienced. | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Complete | Excellent | Complete | 50.00 | Adequate | 25.00 | | Good | See above. | 37.50 | Excellent | 50.00 | Attached | Excellent | Attached | 50.00 |
| MS | 10 | Executive Summary: This section should include the Firm's overall concept of the working relationship that will be required to successfully complete this project. The proposer shall provide an executive summary narrative containing information that indicates an understanding of the overall need for and purpose of the services presented in the RFP. | | 50 | Good | 37.50 | Firm's summary of the project provided | Good | Firm's summary of the project provided | 37.50 | Excellent | 50.00 | Easily articulated. | Excellent | Easily articulated. | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Provide valuable reuse water to customers | Excellent | Provide valuable reuse water to customers | 50.00 | Adequate | 25.00 | | Good | See above. | 37.50 | Excellent | 50.00 | Explained in detail | Excellent | Explained in detail | 50.00 |
| MS | 11 | Value-added services. This term is used for non-core services, or all services beyond the identified scope. Does the firm recommend any optional value-added services? | | 100 | Good | 75.00 | experience with horizontal directional drilling | Excellent | providing an analysis of alternate routes to consider | 100.00 | Excellent | 100.00 | Local contacts, 6 items listed, and verified cost savings on a previous project. | Excellent | Would also investigate the distribution system for capabilities, the additional routes proposed could be very valuable as well. | 100.00 | Good | 75.00 | Alternate underground pipe installation methods; very familiar with city construction specifications | Excellent | Alternate underground pipe installation methods; three alternate routes. Very familiar with city construction specifications | 100.00 | Excellent | 100.00 | Detailed and past performance | Good | See above. | 75.00 | Excellent | 100.00 | Explained in detail | Excellent | Provided alternate routes and the option of using fused pipe. Also showed a knowledge of the CIP budget and provided estimated costs. | 100.00 |
| MS | 12 | Proposed Schedule: Detailed description of the proposed schedule for engineering design and construction services for this project. Please include a bar chart along with any narrative description. Making adjustment for issues that may arise during this project, what is your proposed schedule for this project? This section shall include a detailed breakdown and timelines for achieving the scope of work, with a delineation of assigned staff for each task associated with the project. Also include quality assurance efforts for the data collection and analysis tasks, a process for ensuring that no individual respondents will be identified, and a project timeline. The consultant must have sufficient equipment and personnel for back-up and/or emergencies to ensure prompt scheduling and completion of services within the schedule. *Final project schedule will be negotiated with awarded firm. | | 100 | Adequate | 50.00 | bar chart not provided as requested | Good | bar chart not provided as requested | 75.00 | Adequate | 50.00 | | Good | Were not as clear on FDEP permitting requirements as they could have been but are very clear on FPL's needs. | 75.00 | Excellent | 100.00 | 6 milestones noted, 35 months. 25% staff | Excellent | 6 milestones noted, 35 months. 25% staff | 100.00 | Adequate | 50.00 | | Good | See above. | 75.00 | Excellent | 100.00 | Explained in detail | Excellent | Explained in detail | 100.00 |
| MS | 13 | Other Material: Please include any additional material that may assist the City in evaluating the proposals and approach to the project. Pre-printed advertisements, brochures, and promotional material may be attached as additional information, but shall not serve as a substitute for a specific response. Attachment of brochures instead of the written response request will be grounds for disqualification or devaluation. A simple "yes" or "no" answer alone will not be acceptable unless clearly requested; an explanation shall be provided for each question/issue listed in this response outline. However, clarity and brevity of presentation, not length, will be favorably considered. | | 50 | Adequate | 25.00 | | Good | recent completion of the Southport Backbone 24" Force Main | 37.50 | Adequate | 25.00 | | Excellent | The addition of alternate routes was articulated and could prove to be a valuable forward looking part of the project that saves considerable time and money in the future. | 50.00 | Good | 37.50 | Relationship, availability, location experience, QA, team spirit is mentioned | Excellent | Cost approach for fusion piping was good. Good pro and cons for costs approach of alternate pipe runs | 50.00 | Adequate | 25.00 | | Good | See above. | 37.50 | Excellent | 50.00 | Explained in detail | Excellent | Explained in detail | 50.00 |

Master Technical Evaluation Template

RFP #: 20200081
 RFP Name: Design Services for the Glades-Tradition Reuse Water Main
 Issuing Officer: Jason Bezak
 Date Reviewed:

Reminder - Do not change formulas in Validated Score columns.

Offeror Name
 Culpepper & Terpening, Inc.

Average Initial Rating 837.50
 Average Validated Score 857.50

| Question Type | # | Question | Answer | Possible Points | Clyde Cuffy | | | | | John Lamb | | | | | Pierre Vignor | | | | | Rich Shoeborn | | | | | Tom Salvador | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|---|---|---|-----------------|----------------|---------------|---|------------------|---|-----------------|----------------|---------------|---|------------------|---|-----------------|----------------|---------------|---|------------------|--|-----------------|----------------|---------------|---|------------------|---|-----------------|----------------|---------------|---|------------------|---|-----------------|
| | | | | | Initial Rating | Initial Score | Initial Comments | Validated Rating | Validated Comments | Validated Score | Initial Rating | Initial Score | Initial Comments | Validated Rating | Validated Comments | Validated Score | Initial Rating | Initial Score | Initial Comments | Validated Rating | Validated Comments | Validated Score | Initial Rating | Initial Score | Initial Comments | Validated Rating | Validated Comments | Validated Score | Initial Rating | Initial Score | Initial Comments | Validated Rating | Validated Comments | Validated Score |
| MS | 1 | Proposer's Location: Location shall mean a business which meets the following criteria: # of Miles from City Hall to Assigned Staff's Office location 0-60 = 50 Points 61-80 = 40 Points 81-100 = 30 Points 101-120 = 20 Points 121-140 = 10 Points 140+ = 0 Points | 2980 S. 25th Street, Fort Pierce, Florida 34981 | 50 | Excellent | 50.00 | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | Excellent | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | Excellent | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | Excellent | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | Excellent | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | Excellent | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | 50.00 |
| MS | 2 | Woman/Minority Owned Business: Does the Primary firm hold a Minority Business Certification by the Florida Department of Management Services, as described in section 8 of the document? If so, please attach. | No | 50 | Poor | 0.00 | Not a Minority Business. | Poor | Not a Minority Business. | 0.00 | Poor | 0.00 | Not a Minority Business. | Poor | Not a Minority Business. | 0.00 | Poor | 0.00 | Not a Minority Business. | Poor | Not a Minority Business. | 0.00 | Poor | 0.00 | Not a Minority Business. | Poor | Not a Minority Business. | 0.00 | Poor | 0.00 | Not a Minority Business. | Poor | Not a Minority Business. | 0.00 |
| MS | 3 | Proposer's Work Plans: This section should include, but is not limited to, special concerns or accommodations needed for a successful project. | See detailed response in attachment. | 110 | Good | 82.50 | no special concerns listed | Good | no special concerns listed | 82.50 | Excellent | 110.00 | Well articulated. | Excellent | Well articulated. | 110.00 | Excellent | 110.00 | Lists permits, SFWMD, No FDEP permit required, bore pipe required, verify tie-ins | Excellent | Lists permits, SFWMD, No FDEP permit required, bore pipe required, verify tie-ins, No railroad permits | 110.00 | Excellent | 110.00 | Detailed and specific to this project | Good | Good presentation. Definitely C&T will get the design job done. | 82.50 | Excellent | 110.00 | Explained in Detail | Excellent | Explained in Detail | 110.00 |
| MS | 4 | Project Plan: A project plan is a formal document designed to guide the control and execution of a project. A project plan is the key to a successful project and is the most important document that needs to be created when starting any business project. | See detailed response in attachment. | 110 | Good | 82.50 | project plan details provided | Good | project plan details provided | 82.50 | Excellent | 110.00 | Well articulated. | Excellent | Well articulated. | 110.00 | Excellent | 110.00 | Refinement of scope, survey/data collection, phased submittals, final submittal | Excellent | Model plant conditions, refinement of scope, survey/data collection, phased submittals, final submittal, hydraulic modeling verification of user and pipe size | 110.00 | Excellent | 110.00 | Detailed and specific to this project | Good | See above | 82.50 | Excellent | 110.00 | Explained in Detail | Excellent | Explained in Detail | 110.00 |
| MS | 5 | What is your proposed Management Plan for this project? Management Plan: This section shall describe the Firm's detailed plans for accomplishing the objectives of the project. It should include methods for planning. | See detailed response in attachment. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MS | | 1) Planning | | 20 | Good | 15.00 | planning details listed | Excellent | firm proposes to produce 30%, 80% & 100% plan to reduce design time | 20.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Fully meets all requirements. | Excellent | Fully meets all requirements. | 20.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Project methodology is mentioned | Excellent | Project methodology is mentioned, easement verification, establishment | 20.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | See above | Good | See above | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 20.00 |
| MS | | 2) organizing | | 10 | Good | 7.50 | organizing methodology provided | Good | organizing methodology | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Fully meets all requirements. | Excellent | Fully meets all requirements. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Cost coding all subcontractors deliverables | Excellent | Cost coding all subcontractors | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | See above | Good | See above | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response | 10.00 |
| MS | | 3) scheduling work | | 20 | Good | 15.00 | scheduling details provided | Good | scheduling details provided | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Fully meets all requirements. | Excellent | Fully meets all requirements. | 20.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Monitor actual work effort vs. budget | Excellent | Monitor actual work effort vs. budget | 20.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | See above | Excellent | C&T is very impressive with their overall local knowledge and in-house surveying capabilities | 20.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 20.00 |
| MS | | 4) coordination with the City during design and construction | | 20 | Good | 15.00 | coordination during design and construction provided | Good | coordination during design and construction provided | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Fully meets all requirements. | Excellent | Fully meets all requirements. | 20.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | System in place for tasks | Excellent | System in place for tasks | 20.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | See above | Good | See above | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 20.00 |
| MS | | 5) internal quality assurance and quality control | | 10 | Good | 7.50 | description of QA/QC plan provided | Good | description of QA/QC plan provided | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Fully meets all requirements. | Excellent | Fully meets all requirements. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Team review | Excellent | Team review | 10.00 | Good | 7.50 | See above | Good | See above | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 10.00 |
| MS | | 6) project administration during design | | 20 | Good | 15.00 | design project administration outline provided | Good | design project administration outline provided | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Fully meets all requirements. | Excellent | Fully meets all requirements. | 20.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Provided | Excellent | Identify horizontal path | 20.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | C&T proposal was arranged in a unique and well organized manner | Good | See above | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 20.00 |
| MS | | 7) project administration during construction | | 20 | Good | 15.00 | construction administration goals provided | Good | construction administration goals provided | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Fully meets all requirements. | Excellent | Fully meets all requirements. | 20.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Provided | Excellent | Provided | 20.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | See above | Good | See above | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 20.00 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|----|--|--------------------------------------|-----|----------|-------|---|-----------|--|--------|-----------|--------|---|-----------|---|--------|-----------|--------|--|-----------|---|--------|-----------|--------|---|----------|-----------|-------|-----------|--------|----------------------------|-----------|--|--------|
| MS | 6 | Provide a detailed description of your firm's experience with Utility Easements, i.e. Florida Power and Light, Railroad Crossings, etc. | See detailed response in attachment. | 50 | Good | 37.50 | provided documentation of experience with easements | Good | provided documentation of experience with easements | 37.50 | Excellent | 50.00 | Projects listed all require extensive easement work. | Excellent | Projects listed all require extensive easement work. | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Create construction goals | Excellent | Create construction goals, project all clear, some FPL permitting. | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Detailed and specific to this project | Good | See above | 37.50 | Excellent | 50.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 50.00 |
| MS | 7 | Describe the "owner" approach to construction or projects similar in scope that you have done in the past ten years that your firm was the primary engineer on. | See detailed response in attachment. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MS | 8 | 1) Contact Organization and contact name. Please include a current phone number(s) and email address(es). | See detailed response in attachment. | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided as requested | Good | provided as requested | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | Fully meets all requirements. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Complete | Excellent | Complete | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Significant relevant experience here on the project. See above. | Good | See above | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 10.00 |
| MS | 9 | 2) Describe the type of project and major project elements | See detailed response in attachment. | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided as requested | Good | provided as requested | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | All relevant. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | New and replacement | Excellent | New and replacement | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | See above | Good | See above | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 10.00 |
| MS | 10 | 3) Dollar values for design and construction (if the project included other facilities besides a pipeline, i.e. a pumping station or receiving tank or pond, please breakout the costs and lists separately. | See detailed response in attachment. | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided as requested | Good | provided as requested | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | Price applicable. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | 2.2 to 5 mill | Excellent | 2.2 to 5 mill | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | See above | Good | See above | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 10.00 |
| MS | 11 | 4) Fluid conveyed | See detailed response in attachment. | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided as requested | Good | provided as requested | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | All relevant. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Water and sewer | Excellent | Water and sewer | 10.00 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Adequate | | 5.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 10.00 |
| MS | 12 | 5) Year project was designed and year the project built and placed into service. | See detailed response in attachment. | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided as requested | Good | provided as requested | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | Timely. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | 2017 and 2020 | Excellent | 2017 and 2020 | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | See above | Good | See above | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 10.00 |
| MS | 13 | 6) Pipe diameter and length. Please also include a description of the origin facilities and receiving facilities and if the year get off of project or existing. | See detailed response in attachment. | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided as requested | Good | provided as requested | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | All relevant. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | 2 to 24" | Excellent | 2 to 24" | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | See above | Good | See above | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 10.00 |
| MS | 14 | 7) Describe all of the services that were provided by your firm for this project. | See detailed response in attachment. | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided as requested | Good | provided as requested | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | All relevant. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Lead and grants | Excellent | Lead and grants | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | See above | Good | See above | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 10.00 |
| MS | 15 | 8) Was the construction location primary urban or rural? | See detailed response in attachment. | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided as requested | Good | provided as requested | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | All relevant. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Rural and urban | Excellent | Rural and urban | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | See above | Good | See above | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 10.00 |
| MS | 16 | 9) What were some of the major design and construction issues that had to be overcome? | See detailed response in attachment. | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided as requested | Good | provided as requested | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Good | No issues. | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Power poles, other construction efforts near, resident concerns, resident buy in program, conflicts. | Excellent | Power poles, other construction efforts near | 10.00 | Good | 7.50 | See above | Good | See above | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 10.00 |
| MS | 17 | 10) Staff involved on that project and their role(s) | See detailed response in attachment. | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided as requested | Good | provided as requested | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | Fully meets all requirements. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | 5 to 6 | Excellent | 5 to 6 | 10.00 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Good | See above | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 10.00 |
| MS | 18 | 11) List any subcontractors (i.e. surveyors, electrical engineers, construction inspection, etc.) that worked on this project and their role. | See detailed response in attachment. | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided as requested | Good | provided as requested | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Adequate | Nothing overly articulated. | 5.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Inhouse survey | Excellent | Inhouse survey | 10.00 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Good | See above | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 10.00 |
| MS | 19 | Provide a listing of firm's current contracts. | See detailed response in attachment. | 50 | Good | 37.50 | listing of current projects provided | Good | listing of current projects provided | 37.50 | Excellent | 50.00 | 16 projects, all relevant | Excellent | 16 projects, all relevant | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | 13 city 5% to 95% complete | Excellent | 13 city 5% to 95% complete | 50.00 | Adequate | 25.00 | | Good | See above | 37.50 | Excellent | 50.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 50.00 |
| MS | 20 | Please complete and attach Form 330 part I and II for evaluation of qualifications and staff/personnel. | See detailed response in attachment. | 50 | Good | 37.50 | qualifications provided | Good | qualifications provided | 37.50 | Excellent | 50.00 | Everyone is more than qualified. | Excellent | Everyone is more than qualified. | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Complete | Excellent | Complete | 50.00 | Adequate | 25.00 | | Good | See above | 37.50 | Excellent | 50.00 | Provided | Excellent | Provided | 50.00 |
| MS | 21 | Executive summary. This section should include the Firm's overall concept of the working relationship that will be required to successfully complete this project. The purpose shall provide an executive summary narrative containing information that indicates an understanding of the overall need for and purpose of the services presented in the RFP. | See detailed response in attachment. | 50 | Adequate | 25.00 | | Good | firm indicates knowledge of project and provided method of reducing the time needed for design | 37.50 | Excellent | 50.00 | Clearly articulated. | Excellent | Clearly articulated. | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Alignment with the city's strategic plan. Project plan labor reduction. | Excellent | Alignment with the city's strategic plan. Project plan labor reduction. Aware of overhead FPL transmission crossing, wet land locations, 4 existing ditch crossings, connection points ready at rail road and repump station. | 50.00 | Adequate | 25.00 | | Good | See above | 37.50 | Excellent | 50.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 50.00 |
| MS | 22 | Value-added services. This term is used for non-core services, or, all services beyond the identified scope. Does the firm recommend any optional value-added services? | See detailed response in attachment. | 100 | Adequate | 50.00 | | Good | In-house survey team and resources to prepare easement documents | 75.00 | Excellent | 100.00 | Very long list with proven cost savings listed as well. | Excellent | Very long list with proven cost savings listed as well. | 100.00 | Excellent | 100.00 | Ability of drone aerials, live video feeds of project site, as built verification with GPS | Excellent | Ability of drone aerials, live video feeds of project site, as-built verification with GPS | 100.00 | Excellent | 100.00 | Items cited should make actual construction run more smoothly | Good | See above | 75.00 | Excellent | 100.00 | Detailed response provided | Good | Identified services added by the firm to provide value | 75.00 |
| MS | 23 | Proposed Schedule. Detailed description of the proposed schedule for engineering design and construction services for this project. Please include a bar chart along with any narrative description. Making adjustment for issues that may arise during this project, what is your proposed schedule for this project? This section shall include a detailed breakdown and timeline for achieving the scope of work, with a delineation of assigned staff for each task associated with the project. Also include quality assurance efforts for the data collection and analysis tasks, a process for ensuring that no individual responsibilities will be identified and a project timeline. The consultant must have sufficient equipment and personnel for back-up and/or emergencies to assure prompt scheduling and completion of services within the schedule. *Final project schedule will be negotiated with awarded firm. | See detailed response in attachment. | 100 | Good | 75.00 | bar chart provided as requested | Excellent | firm proposal to reduce the design timeframe by developing 30%, 80% & 100% plan | 100.00 | Excellent | 100.00 | Appears to include everything listed in the question and each is fully explained. | Excellent | Appears to include everything listed in the question and each is fully explained. | 100.00 | Excellent | 100.00 | Topographic design route survey is recent. | Excellent | Topographic design route survey is recent. Fast track schedule by 4 month very possible. HDEP is 20 to 30% use. City self permitting with FDEP. Long relationship with FPL | 100.00 | Adequate | 50.00 | | Good | See above | 75.00 | Excellent | 100.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 100.00 |
| MS | 24 | Other Material. Please include any additional material that may assist the City in evaluating the proposal and approach to the project. Pre-printed advertisements, brochures, and promotional material may be attached as additional information, but shall not serve as a substitute for a specific response. Attachment of brochures instead of the written response request will be grounds for disqualification or devaluation. A simple "yes" or "no" answer alone will not be acceptable unless clearly requested, an explanation shall be provided for each question/issue listed in this response outline. However, clarity and brevity of presentation, not length, will be favorably considered. | See detailed response in attachment. | 50 | Poor | 0.00 | other materials did not assist in scoring | Good | use of drone aerial photography to see details of project area | 37.50 | Adequate | 25.00 | | Good | Good listing of value added items. | 37.50 | Excellent | 50.00 | All contract forms provided | Excellent | All contract forms provided, designed 800 mile of pressure pipe in city. | 50.00 | Adequate | 25.00 | | Good | See above | 37.50 | Good | 37.50 | Provided | Excellent | Elaborated and explained in further detail | 50.00 |

Master Technical Evaluation Template

RFP #: 20200081
 RFP Name: Design Services for the Glades-
 Issuing Officer: Jason Bezak
 Date Reviewed:

Reminder - Do not change formulas in Validated Score columns.

| | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------|
| Offeror Name | Average Initial Rating | 804.00 |
| Holtz Engineering, Inc. | Average Validated Score | 870.50 |

| Question Type | # | Question | Answer | Possible Points | Clyde Cuffy | | | | | John Lamb | | | | | Pierre Vignor | | | | | Rich Shoeborn | | | | | Tom Salvador | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|---|---|--------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|---|------------------|---|-----------------|----------------|---------------|---|------------------|---|-----------------|----------------|---------------|---|------------------|--|-----------------|----------------|---------------|---|------------------|--|-----------------|-----------|--------|----------------------------|-----------|--|--------|
| | | | | | Initial Rating | Initial Score | Initial Comments | Validated Rating | Validated Comments | Validated Score | Initial Rating | Initial Score | Initial Comments | Validated Rating | Validated Comments | Validated Score | Initial Rating | Initial Score | Initial Comments | Validated Rating | Validated Comments | Validated Score | Initial Rating | Initial Score | Initial Comments | Validated Rating | Validated Comments | Validated Score | | | | | | |
| MS | 1 | Proposer's Location - Location shall mean a business which meets the following criteria: # of Miles from City Hall to Assigned Staff's Office location 0-60 = 50 Points 61-80 = 40 Points 81-100 = 30 Points 101-120 = 20 Points 121-140 = 10 Points 140+ = 0 Points | 0-60 | 50 | Excellent | 50.00 | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | Excellent | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | Excellent | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | Excellent | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | Excellent | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | 50.00 | | | | | | |
| MS | 2 | Woman/Veteran/Minority Owned Business. Does the Primary firm hold a Minority Business Certification by the Florida Department of Management Services, as described in section 8 of the document? If so, please attach. | YES | 50 | Excellent | 50.00 | Certified Minority Business | Excellent | Certified Minority Business | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Certified Minority Business | Excellent | Certified Minority Business | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Certified Minority Business | Excellent | Certified Minority Business | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Certified Minority Business | Excellent | Certified Minority Business | 50.00 | | | | | | |
| MS | 3 | Proposer's Work Plans. This section should include, but is not limited to, special concerns or accommodations needed for a successful project. | YES | 110 | Good | 82.50 | provided description in proposal | Good | provided description in proposal | 82.50 | Excellent | 110.00 | Everything appears to be accounted for. | Excellent | Everything appears to be accounted for. | 110.00 | Excellent | 110.00 | 19 years of local knowledge | Excellent | 19 years of local knowledge | 110.00 | Good | 82.50 | Good attitude and good experience. | Good | Good presentation. Very plain, to the point, good solid engineering credentials. | 82.50 | Good | 82.50 | Provided | Excellent | For the purposes of design, modeling was brought up and firm questioned future use of the proposed line - On demand or scheduled | 110.00 |
| MS | 4 | Project plan. A project plan is a formal document designed to guide the control and execution of a project. A project plan is the key to a successful project and is the most important document that needs to be created when starting any business project. | YES | 110 | Adequate | 55.00 | | Good | firm is primarily a water and waste design engineering firm | 82.50 | Good | 82.50 | Lots of explanation but not a formal document. | Excellent | Well articulated during the presentation. | 110.00 | Excellent | 110.00 | Conduct hydraulic models, conceptual designs for alternative costs | Excellent | Conduct hydraulic models, conceptual designs for alternative costs. Must identify peak flow factor of pipe design and system users. Dry times for storage times. | 110.00 | Good | 82.50 | Good attitude and good experience. | Good | See above. | 82.50 | Excellent | 110.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 110.00 |
| MS | 5 | What is your proposed Management Plan for this project? Management Plan. This section shall describe the Firm's detailed plans for accomplishing the objectives | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MS | | 1) Planning | YES | 20 | Good | 15.00 | provided description in proposal | Good | provided description in proposal | 15.00 | Good | 15.00 | Well presented but vague. | Good | Well presented but still generalized. | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Complete | Excellent | Complete | 20.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | See above | Good | See above. | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 20.00 |
| MS | | 2) organizing | YES | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided description in proposal | Good | provided description in proposal | 7.50 | Good | 7.50 | Well presented but vague. | Good | Well presented but still generalized. | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Complete | Excellent | Complete | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | See above | Excellent | Holtz had the right answers for reuse water permitting, the easement layout and they already have started the conversation with FPL. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 10.00 |
| MS | | 3) scheduling work | YES | 20 | Good | 15.00 | provided description in proposal | Good | provided description in proposal | 15.00 | Good | 15.00 | Well presented but vague. | Good | Well presented but still generalized. | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Complete | Excellent | Cost value approach | 20.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | See above | Good | See above. | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 20.00 |
| MS | | 4) coordination with the City during design and construction | YES | 20 | Good | 15.00 | provided description in proposal | Excellent | firm has experience working with governmental agencies | 20.00 | Good | 15.00 | Well presented but vague. | Good | Well presented but still generalized. | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Complete | Excellent | Complete | 20.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | See above | Good | See above. | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 20.00 |
| MS | | 5) internal quality assurance and quality control | YES | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided description in proposal | Good | provided description in proposal | 7.50 | Good | 7.50 | Well presented but vague. | Good | Well presented but still generalized. | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Complete | Good | Provides one year walk | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | See above | Good | See above. | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 10.00 |
| MS | | 6) project administration during design | YES | 20 | Good | 15.00 | provided description in proposal | Good | provided description in proposal | 15.00 | Good | 15.00 | Well presented but vague. | Good | Well presented but still generalized. | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Complete | Good | Complete | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Past experience with Holtz | Good | See above. | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 20.00 |
| MS | | 7) project administration during construction | YES | 20 | Good | 15.00 | provided description in proposal | Good | provided description in proposal | 15.00 | Good | 15.00 | Well presented but vague. | Good | Well presented but still generalized. | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Complete | Excellent | Complete | 20.00 | Good | 15.00 | Easements must have been included | Good | See above. | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 20.00 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|----|--|-----|-----|----------|-------|---|-----------|---|--------|-----------|-------|-------------------|-----------|--|-------|-----------|--------|--|-----------|---|--------|-----------|--------|--|----------|------------|-------|-----------|--------|---|-----------|---|--------|
| MS | 6 | Provide a detailed description of your firm's experience with Utility Easements, i.e. Florida Power and Light, Railroad Crossings, etc. | YES | 50 | Good | 37.50 | provided description in proposal | Good | provided description in proposal | 37.50 | Adequate | 25.00 | | Excellent | Better explained during the presentation. | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Obtained 20 miles of FPL easements | Excellent | Obtained 20 miles of FPL easements on previous project | 50.00 | Good | 37.50 | Generic but reasonable and appears to have worked on their example projects. | Good | See above. | 37.50 | Excellent | 50.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 50.00 |
| MS | 7 | Explain the overall approach/Method/Technique of 5 projects similar in scope that you have done in the past ten years that your firm was the primary engineer on. Including internal project management objectives and criteria. Please include: | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | Better explained during the presentation. | | | | | | | | | | | Good | See above. | | | | | | | |
| MS | | 1) Contact Organization and contact name. Please include a current phone number(s) and email address(es). | YES | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided description in proposal | Good | provided description in proposal | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | Better explained during the presentation. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Complete | Excellent | Complete | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Great experience on the Treasure and Palm Coast areas | Good | See above. | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 10.00 |
| MS | | 2) Describe the type of project and major project elements | YES | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided description in proposal | Good | provided description in proposal | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | Better explained during the presentation. | 10.00 | Good | 7.50 | General | Good | General | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Great experience on the Treasure and Palm Coast areas | Good | See above. | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 10.00 |
| MS | | 3) Dollar values for design and construction (if the project included other facilities besides a pipeline, i.e. a pumping station or receiving tank or pond, please breakout the costs and lists separately.) | YES | 10 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Good | provided description in proposal | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | Better explained during the presentation. | 10.00 | Good | 7.50 | Design costs appear less | Good | Design costs/quality of project value | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Great experience on the Treasure and Palm Coast areas | Good | See above. | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 10.00 |
| MS | | 4) Fluid conveyed | YES | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided description in proposal | Good | provided description in proposal | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | Better explained during the presentation. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Water and Sewer | Excellent | Water and Sewer | 10.00 | Good | 7.50 | Great experience on the Treasure and Palm Coast areas | Adequate | See above. | 5.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 10.00 |
| MS | | 5) Year project was designed and year the project built and placed into service. | YES | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided description in proposal | Good | provided description in proposal | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | Better explained during the presentation. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Recent | Excellent | Recent | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Great experience on the Treasure and Palm Coast areas | Good | See above. | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 10.00 |
| MS | | 6) Pipe diameter and length. Please also include a description of the origin facilities and receiving facilities and if they were part of the project or existing. | YES | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided description in proposal | Good | provided description in proposal | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | Better explained during the presentation. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | 4 to 36 | Excellent | 4 to 36 | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Great experience on the Treasure and Palm Coast areas | Good | See above. | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 10.00 |
| MS | | 7) Describe all of the services that were provided by your firm for this project. | YES | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided description in proposal | Good | provided description in proposal | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | Better explained during the presentation. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Complete | Excellent | Complete | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Great experience on the Treasure and Palm Coast areas | Good | See above. | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 10.00 |
| MS | | 8) Was the construction location primarily urban or rural? | YES | 10 | Poor | 0.00 | urban or rural not directly listed | Good | urban or rural not directly listed | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | Better explained during the presentation. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Rural | Excellent | All water and wastewater construction | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Great experience on the Treasure and Palm Coast areas | Good | See above. | 7.50 | Good | 7.50 | Provided | Good | Provided | 7.50 |
| MS | | 9) What were some of the major design and construction issues that had to be overcome? | YES | 10 | Poor | 0.00 | not identified | Good | not identified | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | Better explained during the presentation. | 10.00 | Good | 7.50 | NA | Good | NA | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Great experience on the Treasure and Palm Coast areas | Good | See above. | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 10.00 |
| MS | | 10) Staff involved on that project and their role(s) | YES | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided description in proposal | Good | provided description in proposal | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | Better explained during the presentation. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Complete | Excellent | Complete | 10.00 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Good | See above. | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 10.00 |
| MS | | 11) List any subconsultants (i.e. surveyors, electrical engineers, construction inspection, etc.) that worked on this project and their role. | YES | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided description in proposal | Good | provided description in proposal | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Adequate | Nothing out of the ordinary. | 5.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Complete | Excellent | Complete | 10.00 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Good | See above. | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 10.00 |
| MS | 8 | Provide a listing of firm's current contracts. | YES | 50 | Good | 37.50 | provided description in proposal | Good | provided description in proposal | 37.50 | Adequate | 25.00 | | Excellent | All WW and Water contracts. | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Over 20 clients on or near the Treasure Coast | Excellent | Over 20 clients on or near the Treasure Coast | 50.00 | Adequate | 25.00 | | Good | See above. | 37.50 | Excellent | 50.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 50.00 |
| MS | 9 | Please complete and attach Form 330 part I and II for evaluation of qualifications and staff/personnel. | YES | 50 | Good | 37.50 | provided description in proposal | Good | provided description in proposal | 37.50 | Good | 37.50 | Some newer staff. | Good | Some newer staff but also a smaller firm. | 37.50 | Excellent | 50.00 | Complete | Excellent | Complete | 50.00 | Adequate | 25.00 | | Good | See above. | 37.50 | Excellent | 50.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 50.00 |
| MS | 10 | Executive Summary. This section should include the Firm's overall concept of the working relationship that will be required to successfully complete this project. The proposer shall provide an executive summary narrative containing information that indicates an understanding of the overall need for and purpose of the services presented in the RFP. | YES | 50 | Adequate | 25.00 | | Good | firm has a good overall understanding of what is needed for this project | 37.50 | Excellent | 50.00 | Well articulated. | Excellent | Well articulated. | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Budget minded, local knowledge, well rounded in all utility needs | Excellent | Budget minded, local knowledge, well rounded in all utility needs. Identify milestone steps: cost value, system operation, skills, site work | 50.00 | Adequate | 25.00 | | Good | See above. | 37.50 | Excellent | 50.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 50.00 |
| MS | 11 | Value-added services. This term is used for non-core services, or, all services beyond the identified scope. Does the firm recommend any optional value-added services? | YES | 100 | Adequate | 50.00 | | Good | firm works primarily on water and waste water designs and CEI. Has tremendous experience with these facilities. | 75.00 | Adequate | 50.00 | | Adequate | They didn't go into anything extra in any great detail. | 50.00 | Excellent | 100.00 | Assesst management by assisting in-field collection data, plan development, workshops, currently working on LS prioritization model. | Good | Assesst management by assisting in-field collection data, plan development. Already droned work area for presentation | 75.00 | Excellent | 100.00 | Significant modeling experience. | Good | See above. | 75.00 | Good | 75.00 | No project specific services identified | Good | No project specific services identified | 75.00 |
| MS | 12 | Proposed Schedule. Detailed description of the proposed schedule for engineering design and construction services for this project. Please include a bar chart along with any narrative description. Making adjustment for issues that may arise during this project, what is your proposed schedule for this project? This section shall include a detailed breakdown and timeline for achieving the scope of work, with a delineation of assigned staff for each task associated with the project. Also include quality assurance efforts for the data collection and analysis tasks, a process for ensuring that no individual respondents will be identified, and a project timeline. The consultant must have sufficient equipment and personnel for back-up and/or emergencies to assure prompt scheduling and completion of services within the schedule. *Final project schedule will be ATTACHED TO RFP . Please include any additional material that may assist the City in evaluating the proposals and approach to the project. Pre-printed advertisements, brochures, and promotional material may be attached as additional information, but shall not serve as a substitute for a specific response. Attachment of brochures instead of the written response request will be grounds for disqualification or devaluation. A simple "yes" or "no" answer alone will not be acceptable unless clearly requested; an explanation shall be provided for each question/issue listed in this response outline. However, clarity and brevity of presentation, not length, will be favorably considered. | YES | 100 | Adequate | 50.00 | | Excellent | firm showed initiative by contacting FDEP to confirm permitting requirements and was able to reduce design time | 100.00 | Adequate | 50.00 | | Good | They seem capable but didn't articulate any expected time savings. | 75.00 | Good | 75.00 | Project cost part of 30% design should match 90% design | Excellent | Project cost part of 30% design should match 90% design. Plenty of room to install reuse in existing easement. | 100.00 | Adequate | 50.00 | | Good | See above. | 75.00 | Excellent | 100.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 100.00 |
| MS | 13 | Additional Information. Please include any additional material that may assist the City in evaluating the proposals and approach to the project. Pre-printed advertisements, brochures, and promotional material may be attached as additional information, but shall not serve as a substitute for a specific response. Attachment of brochures instead of the written response request will be grounds for disqualification or devaluation. A simple "yes" or "no" answer alone will not be acceptable unless clearly requested; an explanation shall be provided for each question/issue listed in this response outline. However, clarity and brevity of presentation, not length, will be favorably considered. | YES | 50 | Marginal | 12.50 | has experience with regulatory agencies | Good | use of drone aerial photography to see details of project area | 37.50 | Adequate | 25.00 | | Adequate | Again, they seem more than capable but the project and additions were generalized. | 25.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Many recommendation letters | Good | Many recommendati on letters | 37.50 | Adequate | 25.00 | | Good | See above. | 37.50 | Excellent | 50.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 50.00 |

Master Technical Evaluation Template

RFP #: 20200081
 RFP Name: Design Services for the Glades-Tradition Reuse Water Main Project
 Issuing Officer: Jason Bezak
 Date Reviewed:

Reminder - Do not change formulas in Validated Score columns.

| | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|--------|
| Offoror Name | Average Initial Rating | 805.50 |
| Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. | Average Validated Score | 857.00 |

| Question Type | # | Question | Possible Points | Clyde Cuffy | | | | | John Lamb | | | | | Pierre Vignor | | | | | Rich Shoenborn | | | | | Tom Salvador | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|---|---|-----------------|----------------|---------------|---|------------------|---|-----------------|----------------|---------------|---|------------------|--|-----------------|----------------|---------------|---|------------------|---|-----------------|----------------|---------------|---|------------------|---|-----------------|-----------|--------|----------------------------|-----------|----------------------------|--------|
| | | | | Initial Rating | Initial Score | Initial Comments | Validated Rating | Validated Comments | Validated Score | Initial Rating | Initial Score | Initial Comments | Validated Rating | Validated Comments | Validated Score | Initial Rating | Initial Score | Initial Comments | Validated Rating | Validated Comments | Validated Score | Initial Rating | Initial Score | Initial Comments | Validated Rating | Validated Comments | Validated Score | | | | | | |
| MS | 1 | Proposer's Location: Location shall mean a business which meets the following criteria: # of Miles from City Hall to Assigned Staff's Office location 0-60 = 50 Points 61-80 = 40 Points 81-100 = 30 Points 101-120 = 20 Points 121-140 = 10 Points 140+ = 0 Points | 50 | Excellent | 50.00 | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | Excellent | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | Excellent | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | Excellent | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | Excellent | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | 50.00 | | | | | | |
| MS | 2 | Minority Business Enterprise: Does the Primary firm hold a Minority Business Certification by the Florida Department of Management Services, as described in section 8 of the document? If so, please attach | 50 | Poor | 0.00 | Not a Minority Business. | Poor | Not a Minority Business. | 0.00 | Poor | 0.00 | Not a Minority Business. | Poor | Not a Minority Business. | 0.00 | Poor | 0.00 | Not a Minority Business. | Poor | Not a Minority Business. | 0.00 | Poor | 0.00 | Not a Minority Business. | Poor | Not a Minority Business. | 0.00 | | | | | | |
| MS | 3 | Proposer's Work Plans: This section should include, but is not limited to, special concerns or accommodations needed for a successful project. | 110 | Adequate | 55.00 | Our familiar staff and our talented group of engineers are committed to the success of your project and have the same passion and desire as you to ensure a successful extension of our previous reclaimed main installation project. This type of commitment to the City and the same team members are currently being exhibited in a project that the team is working on today, the Northport (Glades Cut Off Road) 24-inch Force Main Phase 3 Project. More details are included in our proposal document. | Good | firm worked on existing water main and force main and is familiar with the conditions and requirements for a successful project. | 82.50 | Excellent | 110.00 | Straightforward. | Excellent | Excellent knowledge of the existing work site, neighbors, and developers. Also did the work that installed the initial pipelines next to the road. | 110.00 | Excellent | 110.00 | Rely on knowledge of existing infrastructure | Excellent | Rely on knowledge of existing infrastructure | 110.00 | Good | 82.50 | Some very relevant local experience | Good | Good presentation. | 82.50 | Excellent | 110.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 110.00 |
| MS | 4 | Project Plan: A project plan is a formal document designed to guide the control and execution of a project. A project plan is the key to a successful project and is the most important document that needs to be created when starting any business project. | 110 | Good | 82.50 | description provided in proposal | Good | description provided in proposal | 82.50 | Excellent | 110.00 | Straightforward. | Excellent | Already did some research on pertinent FDEP permitting requirements needed to ensure a shorter project schedule. | 110.00 | Excellent | 110.00 | | Excellent | 4 jack and bore, no railroad interference, one wet land, for directional drilling | 110.00 | Good | 82.50 | Appears organized and serious about the project. | Good | See above | 82.50 | Excellent | 110.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 110.00 |
| MS | 5 | Future Use and Development: This section shall describe the Firm's detailed plans to accommodate the objectives of the project. It should include methods for planning, construction, and maintenance of the future use. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Design and Bidding | | | | | Good | See above | | | | | | | |
| MS | | 1) Planning | 20 | Good | 15.00 | description provided in proposal | Good | description provided in proposal | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Well articulated. | Excellent | Well articulated and thorough. | 20.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Avoid future utility conflicts. | Excellent | Avoid future utility conflicts. Contractor safety due to 5,000 volt FPL overhead power lines. | 20.00 | Good | 15.00 | Generally pleasant | Good | See above | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 20.00 |
| MS | | 2) organizing | 10 | Good | 7.50 | description provided in proposal | Excellent | Team understood the limitations of the project, having worked on the previous water and forcemain. Has the details of the existing facilities and knows where the existing easement lies. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Well articulated. | Excellent | Well articulated and thorough. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Refers to City Master Plan Report for pipe size confirmation | Excellent | Refers to City Master Plan Report for pipe size confirmation | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Well organized proposal | Good | See above | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 10.00 |
| MS | | 3) scheduling work | 20 | Good | 15.00 | description provided in proposal | Good | description provided in proposal | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Well articulated. | Excellent | Well articulated and thorough. | 20.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Water and sewer | Excellent | Water and sewer | 20.00 | Good | 15.00 | Appears knowledgeable | Good | See above | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 20.00 |
| MS | | 4) coordination with the City during design and construction | 20 | Good | 15.00 | description provided in proposal | Good | description provided in proposal | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Well articulated. | Excellent | Well articulated and thorough. | 20.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Detailed, examples shown | Excellent | Detailed, examples shown | 20.00 | Good | 15.00 | Appears knowledgeable | Good | See above | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 20.00 |
| MS | | 5) internal quality assurance and quality control | 10 | Good | 7.50 | description provided in proposal | Good | description provided in proposal | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Well articulated. | Excellent | Well articulated and thorough. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | 30%,60% 90% | Excellent | 30%,60% 90% | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Proposal quality is good | Excellent | K-H has a good plan for working with developers in the Tradition area, they have already started discussing the project with FPL, and they have their own wetland delineations people that can be thrown at this project if needed. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 10.00 |
| MS | | 6) project administration during design | 20 | Good | 15.00 | description provided in proposal | Good | description provided in proposal | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Well articulated. | Excellent | Well articulated and thorough. | 20.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Detailed | Excellent | Detailed | 20.00 | Good | 15.00 | Well prepared. | Excellent | See above | 20.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 20.00 |
| MS | | 7) project administration during construction | 20 | Good | 15.00 | description provided in proposal | Good | description provided in proposal | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Well articulated. | Excellent | Well articulated and thorough. | 20.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Detailed | Excellent | Detailed | 20.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Appears committed. | Good | See above | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 20.00 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|----|--|---|-----|----------|-------|---|------|---|-------|-----------|--------|---|-----------|---|--------|-----------|--------|--|-----------|--|--------|-----------|-------|--|----------|-----------|-------|-----------|--------|----------------------------|-----------|----------------------------|--------|
| MS | 6 | Provide a detailed description of your firm's experience with Utility Easements, i.e. Florida Power and Light, Railroad Crossings, etc. | The team proposed for this project has unparalleled experience on large "heavy civil" municipality type projects throughout South Florida. More details are included in our proposal document. | 50 | Good | 37.50 | Engineer has past experience working on the existing parallel line that was installed years ago | Good | Engineer has past experience working on the existing parallel line that was installed years ago | 37.50 | Excellent | 50.00 | Well articulated, relevant. | Excellent | Performed the previous railroad crossing. | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | FEC Railway coordination identified | Excellent | FEC Railway coordination identified | 50.00 | Good | 37.50 | Appears competent. | Good | See above | 37.50 | Excellent | 50.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 50.00 |
| MS | 7 | Expand the overall approach/method/rechnique of 3 projects similar in scope that you have done in the past ten years that your firm was the primary engineer on. Indicate project objectives and criteria. Please include: | We have included information on five recent projects and describe the unique features you requested. More details are | | | | | | | | | | | Excellent | One of the previous projects | | | | | | | | | | Appears organized and serious about the project. | Good | See above | | | | | | | |
| MS | | 1) Contact Organization and contact name. Please include a current phone number(s) and email address(es). | Details are included in our proposal document. | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided response as requested | Good | provided response as requested | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | Better explained in the presentation. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Complete | Excellent | Complete | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Nice group of relevant projects. | Good | See above | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 10.00 |
| MS | | 2) Describe the type of project and major project elements | Details are included in our proposal document. | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided response as requested | Good | provided response as requested | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | Better explained in the presentation. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | New, Relocations | Excellent | New, Relocations | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | See above | Good | See above | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 10.00 |
| MS | | 3) Dollar values for design and construction (if the project included other facilities besides a pipeline, i.e. a pumping station or receiving tank or pond, please break out the costs and tasks separately.) | Details are included in our proposal document. | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided response as requested | Good | provided response as requested | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | Better explained in the presentation. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | 1.4 mil to 2.4 mil | Excellent | 1.4 mil to 2.4 mil | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | See above | Good | See above | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 10.00 |
| MS | | 4) Fluid conveyed | Details are included in our proposal document. | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided response as requested | Good | provided response as requested | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | Better explained in the presentation. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Details are included in our proposal document. | Excellent | Details are included in our proposal document. | 10.00 | Adequate | 5.00 | See above | Adequate | | 5.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 10.00 |
| MS | | 5) Year project was designed and year the project built and placed into service. | Details are included in our proposal document. | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided response as requested | Good | provided response as requested | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | Better explained in the presentation. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Current | Excellent | Current | 10.00 | Good | 7.50 | See above | Good | See above | 7.50 | Good | 7.50 | Provided | Good | Provided | 7.50 |
| MS | | 6) Pipe diameter and length. Please also include a description of the origin facilities and receiving facilities and if they were part of the project or existing. | Details are included in our proposal document. | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided response as requested | Good | provided response as requested | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | Better explained in the presentation. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | 16 to 42 | Excellent | 16 to 42 | 10.00 | Good | 7.50 | See above | Good | See above | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 10.00 |
| MS | | 7) Describe all of the services that were provided by your firm for this project. | Details are included in our proposal document. | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided response as requested | Good | provided response as requested | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | Better explained in the presentation. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Lead | Excellent | Lead | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | See above | Good | See above | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 10.00 |
| MS | | 8) Was the construction location primarily urban or rural? | Details are included in our proposal document. | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided response as requested | Good | provided response as requested | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | Better explained in the presentation. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Both | Excellent | Both | 10.00 | Good | 7.50 | See above | Good | See above | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 10.00 |
| MS | | 9) What were some of the major design and construction issues that had to be overcome? | Details are included in our proposal document. | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided response as requested | Good | provided response as requested | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | Better explained in the presentation. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Challenges: Laydown area, DOT alignment, highly congested easements, finding unknown mains | Excellent | Challenges: Laydown area, DOT alignment, highly congested easements, finding unknown mains | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | See above | Good | See above | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 10.00 |
| MS | | 10) Staff involved on that project and their roles) | Details are included in our proposal document. | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided response as requested | Good | provided response as requested | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Excellent | Better explained in the presentation. | 10.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | 3 to 5 | Excellent | 3 to 5, local Vero branch | 10.00 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Good | See above | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 10.00 |
| MS | | 11) List any subconsultants (i.e. surveyors, electrical engineers, construction inspection, etc.) that worked on this project and their role. | Details are included in our proposal document. | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided response as requested | Good | provided response as requested | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Adequate | Nothing overly articulated. | 5.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Yes | Excellent | Yes | 10.00 | Adequate | 5.00 | | Good | See above | 7.50 | Good | 7.50 | Provided | Good | Provided | 7.50 |
| MS | 8 | Provide a listing of firm's current contracts. | We have included the contracts the core team who will be serving you are working on. More details are included in our proposal document. | 50 | Good | 37.50 | provided response as requested | Good | provided response as requested | 37.50 | Excellent | 50.00 | All relevant | Excellent | All relevant. | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | 20 or more | Excellent | 20 or more | 50.00 | Adequate | 25.00 | | Good | See above | 37.50 | Excellent | 50.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 50.00 |
| MS | 9 | Please complete and attach Form 330 part I and II for evaluation of qualifications and performance. | We have included our SF 330 form as a separate attachment. | 50 | Good | 37.50 | provided response as requested | Good | provided response as requested | 37.50 | Good | 37.50 | Seems standard, 2 newer employees | Excellent | Newer employees not found to be as well articulated and thorough. | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Complete | Excellent | Complete | 50.00 | Adequate | 25.00 | | Good | See above | 37.50 | Good | 37.50 | Included | Good | Included | 37.50 |
| MS | 10 | Executive summary. This section should include the Firm's overall concept of the working relationship that will be required to successfully complete this project. The proposal shall provide an executive summary narrative containing information that indicates an understanding of the overall need for and purpose of the services presented in the RFP. | Kimley-Horn will provide a consultant team with the specific capabilities, dedication to responsiveness, and experience at collaborating on and delivering this project on-time and within budget. The same team that the City is seeing today for the design of the Northport (Glades Cut Off Road) 24-inch Force Main Phase 3 Project will be used for this reuse main project. More details are included in our proposal document. | 50 | Good | 37.50 | provided response as requested | Good | provided response as requested | 37.50 | Excellent | 50.00 | Well articulated. | Excellent | Well articulated and thorough. | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | No learning curves for this project | Excellent | No learning curves for this project. Very familiar with city employee historical work of the construction site and area. Already identified one conflict at the city existing pump station and another canal crossing. All path is open work by using existing easements | 50.00 | Adequate | 25.00 | | Good | See above | 37.50 | Excellent | 50.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 50.00 |
| MS | 11 | We bring "value added" services through our relationships with other subconsultants and our in-house capabilities. More details are included in our proposal document. Value added services. This term is used for non-core services, or, all services beyond the identified scope. Does the firm recommend any optional value-added services? | We bring "value added" services through our relationships with other subconsultants and our in-house capabilities. More details are included in our proposal document. | 100 | Adequate | 50.00 | | Good | as a national firm, they have a vast amount of resources readily available. | 75.00 | Adequate | 50.00 | | Excellent | Relationships to existing developers, landowners, knowledge of the proposed North-South roadway, and through permitting knowledge will be key to savings and City issues long term by potentially addressing and preventing future project needs. | 100.00 | Excellent | 100.00 | Available structural engineers for power line supports assessment | Excellent | Confirmed with FDEP about permits already. Pipeline O & M considerations methods available, | 100.00 | Good | 75.00 | Good relevant experience. | Good | See above | 75.00 | Excellent | 100.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 100.00 |
| MS | 12 | Provide a detailed description of the proposed schedule for engineering design and construction services for this project. Please include a bar chart along with any narrative description. Making adjustment for issues that may arise during this project, what is your proposed schedule for this project? This section shall include a detailed breakdown and timelines for achieving the scope of work, with a delineation of assigned staff for each task associated with the project. Also include quality assurance efforts for the data collection and analysis tasks, a process for ensuring that no individual respondents will be identified, and a project timeline. The consultant must have sufficient equipment and personnel for back-up and/or emergencies to assure prompt scheduling and completion of services within the schedule. Final project schedule will be negotiated with award firm. | We have included a complete schedule with major milestones. The full schedule is included in our proposal document. | 100 | Good | 75.00 | provided response as requested | Good | provided response as requested | 75.00 | Excellent | 100.00 | Formal manual for project management, specific chart. | Excellent | Very firm on a 4 month time savings to the work/project schedule. | 100.00 | Excellent | 100.00 | 30% submittals included; Project Manager's Manual published to guide comprehensive work plan, 23 month schedule. | Excellent | 30% submittals included; Project Manager's Manual published to guide comprehensive work plan, 23 month schedule. | 100.00 | Adequate | 50.00 | | Good | See above | 75.00 | Excellent | 100.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 100.00 |
| MS | 13 | Other Material. Please include any additional material that may assist the City in evaluating the proposals and approach to the project. Pre-printed advertisements, brochures, and promotional material may be attached as additional information, but shall not serve as a substitute for a specific response. Attachment of brochures instead of the written response request will be grounds for disqualification or devaluation. A simple "yes" or "no" answer alone will not be acceptable unless clearly requested; an explanation shall be provided for each question/issue listed in this response outline. However, clarity and brevity of presentation, not length, will be favorably considered. | We have included additional information about our firm, licenses, and our expertise in other related services and programs. | 50 | Marginal | 12.50 | Firm has experience with design pumping stations and conveyance lines | Good | Firm has experience with design pumping stations and conveyance lines | 37.50 | Excellent | 50.00 | Varied and applicable extra material. | Excellent | In-house staffing for environmental and animal related issues. | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Locations throughout FL | Excellent | Has all the resources available. No subcontracting of environmental services. Accelerated schedule due to many relationships of current developer and landowner alike. | 50.00 | Adequate | 25.00 | | Good | See above | 37.50 | Excellent | 50.00 | Detailed response provided | Excellent | Detailed response provided | 50.00 |

Master Technical Evaluation Template

RFP #: 20200081
 RFP Name: Design Services for the Glades-Tradition Reuse Water Main Project
 Issuing Officer: Jason Bezak
 Date Reviewed:

Reminder - Do not change formulas in Validated Score columns.

| Offeror Name |
|---|
| Velcon Engineering, LLC NOT SHORTLISTED |

| | |
|-------------------------|--------|
| Average Initial Rating | 713.50 |
| Average Validated Score | 713.50 |

| Question Type | # | Question | Answer | Possible Points | Clyde Cuffy | | | | | John Lamb | | | | | Pierre Vignor | | | | | Rich Shoeborn | | | | | Tom Salvador | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|---|--|---|-----------------|----------------|---------------|---|------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------|---|---|------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------|---|---|------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------------|---|------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------|---|---|--|-------|--------|
| | | | | | Initial Rating | Initial Score | Initial Comments | Validated Rating | Validated Comments | Validated Score | Initial Rating | Initial Score | Initial Comments | Validated Rating | Validated Comments | Validated Score | Initial Rating | Initial Score | Initial Comments | Validated Rating | Validated Comments | Validated Score | Initial Rating | Initial Score | Initial Comments | Validated Rating | Validated Comments | Validated Score | | | | | | |
| MS | 1 | Proposer's Location - Location shall mean a business which meets the following criteria: # of Miles from City Hall to Assigned Staff's Office location 0-40 = 50 Points 61-80 = 40 Points 81-100 = 30 Points 101-120 = 20 Points 121-140 = 10 Points 140+ = 0 Points | 7.4 Miles | 50 | Excellent | 50.00 | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | | | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | | | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | | | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | | | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Procurement has verified location the firm's location and scored it properly. | | | 50.00 |
| MS | 2 | Woman/Veteran/Minority Owned Business. Does the Primary firm hold a Minority Business Certification by the Florida Department of Management Services, as described in section 8 of the document? If so, please attach. | No | 50 | Poor | 0.00 | Not a Minority Business. | | | 0.00 | Poor | 0.00 | Not a Minority Business. | | | 0.00 | Poor | 0.00 | Not a Minority Business. | | | 0.00 | Poor | 0.00 | Not a Minority Business. | | | 0.00 | Poor | 0.00 | Not a Minority Business. | | | 0.00 |
| MS | 3 | Proposer's Work Plans This section should include, but is not limited to, special concerns or accommodations needed for a successful project. | Provided. Please see attachment. | 110 | Adequate | 55.00 | | | 55.00 | Good | 82.50 | Explained but still seems a little vague. | | | 82.50 | Excellent | 110.00 | Provide site reconnaissance, plans, estimate, bid, permits, CEI | | | 110.00 | Good | 82.50 | Nicely organized. | | | 82.50 | Adequate | 55.00 | Attached, no project specific information | | | 55.00 | |
| MS | 4 | Project plan: A project plan is a formal document designed to guide the control and execution of a project. A project plan is the key to a successful project and is the most important document that needs to be created when starting any business project. | Provided. Please see attachment. | 110 | Good | 82.50 | provided information as requested | | | 82.50 | Adequate | 55.00 | | | 55.00 | Excellent | 110.00 | | | | | 110.00 | Good | 82.50 | Nice plan. | | | 82.50 | Excellent | 110.00 | Detailed response provided | | | 110.00 |
| MS | 5 | What is your proposed Management Plan for this project? Management Plan: This section shall describe the Firm's detailed plans for accomplishing the objectives of the project. It should include methods for planning, organizing, scheduling, coordinating, and administering the total project. | Provided as an attachment including examples. Our team is extensively experienced with design, permitting, and implementation of this type of project and City staff can rest assured that we will be able to coordinate with you effectively. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MS | | 1) Planning | Our planning for this project has already begun by developing and understanding the project. We will sit down with City staff with a prepared agenda that identifies key project work items. This will include a listing of all parcels the route needs to traverse and associated title and easement issues. Please see attachment for additional information. | 20 | Good | 15.00 | provided information as requested | | | 15.00 | Adequate | 10.00 | | | 10.00 | Good | 15.00 | Estimates, future costs | | | 15.00 | Good | 15.00 | See above. | | | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Detailed response provided | | | 20.00 | |
| MS | | 2) organizing | Our team is organized with leaders that know how to get the job done. Please see attachment for additional information. | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided information as requested | | | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | | 5.00 | Good | 7.50 | FDOT forms are universally accepted | | | 7.50 | Good | 7.50 | See above. | | | 7.50 | Good | 7.50 | Provided | | | 7.50 | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|----|--|--|-----|----------|-------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|-------|-----------|--------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|-------|----------|----------|-----------|------------|--|--|-------|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| MS | | 3) scheduling work | Please see attached schedule for additional information | 20 | Good | 15.00 | provided information as requested | | | | | | | | 10.00 | Good | 15.00 | 30,60,90,100 milestones and provide easement and right of way timelines at a critical path. | | | | | | | 15.00 | Adequate | 10.00 | | | | | 10.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Detailed response provided | | | | | | | 20.00 | | | | |
| MS | | 4) coordination with the City during design and construction | Our plan for coordination revolves around clear communication channels and clear delineation of both City and Engineer firm key contacts | 20 | Adequate | 10.00 | | | | | | | | | 10.00 | Good | 15.00 | Monthly design meeting until construction | | | | | | | | 15.00 | Good | 15.00 | See above. | | | | 15.00 | Good | 15.00 | Response provided | | | | | | | 15.00 | | | |
| MS | | 5) internal quality assurance and quality control | Please see attached. Our plans will be QA/QC'd by an engineer with over 25 years experience in design, construction, and operation of utility lines. | 10 | Adequate | 5.00 | | | | | | | | | 5.00 | Good | 7.50 | Low staff cost and efficiency | | | | | | | | 7.50 | Good | 7.50 | See above. | | | | 7.50 | Good | 7.50 | Response provided | | | | | | | 7.50 | | | |
| MS | | 6) project administration during design | Please see attachment. | 20 | Adequate | 10.00 | | | | | | | | | 10.00 | Good | 15.00 | Knowledge of area | | | | | | | | 15.00 | Good | 15.00 | See above. | | | | 15.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Detailed response provided | | | | | | | 20.00 | | | |
| MS | | 7) project administration during construction | Please see attachment. We are currently inspecting work under construction within the Tradition area. | 20 | Adequate | 10.00 | | | | | | | | | 10.00 | Good | 15.00 | Immediate service | | | | | | | | 15.00 | Adequate | 10.00 | | | | 10.00 | Excellent | 20.00 | Detailed response provided | | | | | | | 20.00 | | | | |
| MS | 6 | Provide a detailed description of your firm's experience with Utility Estimators, i.e. Florida Power and Light, Railroad Crossing, etc. | Please see attached. | 50 | Good | 37.50 | provided information as requested | | | | | | | | 37.50 | Adequate | 25.00 | | | | | | | | | | 37.50 | Adequate | 25.00 | | | | 25.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Detailed response and exhibits provided | | | | | | | 50.00 | | | |
| MS | 7 | Explain the overall approach/Method/Technique of 5 projects similar in scope that you have done in the past ten years that your firm was the primary engineer on, including internal project management objectives and criteria. Please include: | Please see attached project pages. Our team has designed and been involved with the construction of miles of utility water, sewer, and reuse distribution mains throughout all types of route corridors. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MS | | 1) Contact Organization and contact name. Please include a current phone number(s) and email address(es). | Please see attached project pages. | 10 | Marginal | 2.50 | contact names and phone numbers not provided as requested | | | | | | | | 5.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Complete | | | | | | | | | 10.00 | Good | 7.50 | See above. | | | | 7.50 | Marginal | 2.50 | No organizational contact name, phone number or email address provided as requested for 4 of the 5 | | | | | | | | 2.50 | |
| MS | | 2) Describe the type of project and major project elements | Please see attached project pages. | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided information as requested | | | | | | | | 5.00 | Good | 7.50 | Community roadway utilities and one reclaimed main | | | | | | | | | 7.50 | Adequate | 5.00 | | | | 5.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Detailed response provided | | | | | | | | 10.00 | | |
| MS | | 3) Dollar values for design and construction (if the project included other facilities besides a pipeline, i.e. a pumping station or receiving tank or pond, please breakout the costs and lists separately.) | Please see attached project pages. | 10 | Poor | 0.00 | project values not provided as requested | | | | | | | | 5.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | 1.4 to 1.5 m | | | | | | | | | 10.00 | Good | 7.50 | See above. | | | | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Provided | | | | | | | 10.00 | | |
| MS | | 4) Fluid conveyed | Please see attached project pages. | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided information as requested | | | | | | | | 5.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Water, Sewer and 1.5 mile reclaimed | | | | | | | | | 10.00 | Good | 7.50 | See above. | | | | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Provided | | | | | | | 10.00 | | |
| MS | | 5) Year project was designed and year the project built and placed into service. | Please see attached project pages. | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided information as requested | | | | | | | | 5.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | 2018 to current | | | | | | | | | 10.00 | Good | 7.50 | See above. | | | | 7.50 | Excellent | 10.00 | Provided | | | | | | | 10.00 | | |
| MS | | 6) Type of container and weight. Please also include a description of the origin facilities and receiving facilities and if they were part of the project or services. | Please see attached project pages. | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided information as requested | | | | | | | | 5.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | 6 to 30 | | | | | | | | | 10.00 | Good | 7.50 | See above. | | | | 7.50 | Good | 7.50 | 4 out of 5 do not list origin or receiving due to scope | | | | | | | | 7.50 | |
| MS | | 7) Describe all of the services that were provided by your firm for this project. | Please see attached project pages. | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided information as requested | | | | | | | | 5.00 | Good | 7.50 | More of design survey, permitting, some construction | | | | | | | | | 7.50 | Good | 7.50 | See above. | | | | 7.50 | Good | 7.50 | Provided | | | | | | | 7.50 | | |
| MS | | 8) Was the construction location primary urban or rural? | Please see attached project pages. | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided information as requested | | | | | | | | 5.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Urban | | | | | | | | | 10.00 | Adequate | 5.00 | | | | 5.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Provided | | | | | | | | 10.00 | | |
| MS | | 9) What were some of the major design and construction issues that had to be overcome? | Please see attached project pages. | 10 | Adequate | 5.00 | | | | | | | | | 5.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Phasing, Coordination, time, length of bore | | | | | | | | | 10.00 | Adequate | 5.00 | | | | 5.00 | Marginal | 2.50 | No detail provided with response | | | | | | | | | 2.50 | |
| MS | | 10) Staff involved on that project and their role(s) | Please see attached project pages. | 10 | Adequate | 5.00 | | | | | | | | | 5.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | 4 to 5 | | | | | | | | | 10.00 | Adequate | 5.00 | | | | 5.00 | Adequate | 5.00 | No roles provided as requested | | | | | | | | | 5.00 | |
| MS | | 11) List any subcontractors (i.e. surveyors, electrical engineers, construction inspection, etc.) that worked on this project and their role. | Please see attached project pages. | 10 | Good | 7.50 | provided information as requested | | | | | | | | 5.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Yes | | | | | | | | | 10.00 | Adequate | 5.00 | | | | 5.00 | Excellent | 10.00 | Provided | | | | | | | | 10.00 | | |
| MS | 8 | Provide a listing of firm's current contracts. | Please see attached project pages. | 50 | Good | 37.50 | provided information as requested | | | | | | | | 25.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | 12 residential developments | | | | | | | | | 50.00 | Adequate | 25.00 | | | | 25.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Provided | | | | | | | | | 50.00 | |
| MS | 9 | Please complete and attach Form 330 part I and II for evaluation of qualifications and staff/personnel. | Please see attached project pages. | 50 | Good | 37.50 | provided information as requested | | | | | | | | 37.50 | Excellent | 50.00 | Everyone is very experienced. | | | | | | | | | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Complete | | | | 25.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Provided | | | | | | | | 50.00 | |
| MS | 10 | Executive Summary. This section should include the Firm's overall concept of the working relationship that will be required to successfully complete this project. The proposer shall provide an executive summary narrative containing information that indicates an understanding of the overall need for and purpose of the services presented in the RFP. | Our firm will maintain a positive and proactive working relationship with City staff at all times. Please see attachment for additional information. | 50 | Adequate | 25.00 | provided some information | | | | | | | | 25.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | They display a full understanding of everything. | | | | | | | | | 50.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Close relationship with County Chamber of Commerce | | | | 25.00 | Adequate | 25.00 | Included | | | | | | | | | 25.00 |
| MS | 11 | Value-added services: This term is used for non-core services, or all services beyond the identified scope. Does the firm recommend any optional value-added services? | Yes- Our firm offers drone capable topographic services. | 100 | Good | 75.00 | | | | | | | | | 75.00 | Good | 75.00 | Long list but nothing very specific for a cost listing. | | | | | | | | | 75.00 | Good | 75.00 | Full service multi-discipline firm; Comply with OSHA requirements. | | | | 50.00 | Excellent | 100.00 | Provided, no recommendations | | | | | | | | | 100.00 |
| MS | 12 | Proposed Schedule. Detailed description of the proposed schedule for engineering design and construction services for this project. Please include a bar chart along with any narrative description. Making adjustment for issues that may arise during this project, what is your proposed schedule for this project? This section shall include a detailed breakdown and timelines for achieving the scope of work, with a delineation of assigned staff for each task associated with the project. Also include quality assurance efforts for the data collection and analysis tasks, a process for ensuring that no individual respondents will be identified, and a project timeline. The consultant must have sufficient equipment and personnel for back-up and/or emergencies to assure prompt scheduling and completion of services within the schedule. *Final project schedule will be negotiated with awarded firm. | Please see attached. | 100 | Good | 75.00 | provided information as requested | | | | | | | | 75.00 | Excellent | 100.00 | Fully articulated. | | | | | | | | | 100.00 | Excellent | 100.00 | Proposed 30 month schedule | | | | 50.00 | Excellent | 100.00 | Provided | | | | | | | | 100.00 | |
| MS | 13 | Other Material. Please include any additional material that may assist the City in evaluating the proposals and approach to the project. Pre-printed advertisements, brochures, and promotional material may be attached as additional information, but shall not serve as a substitute for a specific response. Attachment of brochures instead of the written response request will be grounds for disqualification or devaluation. A simple "yes" or "no" answer alone will not be acceptable unless clearly requested; an explanation shall be provided for each question/issue listed in this response outline. However, clarity and brevity of presentation, not length, will be favorably considered. | Please see attached. | 50 | Poor | 0.00 | did not provide any additional information that could be used in evaluation | | | | | | | | 25.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Gives back to community | | | | | | | | | 50.00 | Adequate | 25.00 | | | | 25.00 | Excellent | 50.00 | Provided | | | | | | | | | 50.00 | |