

City of Port St. Lucie

Procurement Management Division

121 SW Port St. Lucie Blvd,, Port St. Lucie, FL 34984

EVALUATION TABULATION

GEN No. 20240141

Design-Build Services for Eight (8) Wells and the Raw Water Main on Discovery Way

RESPONSE DEADLINE: January 21, 2025 at 3:00 pm Report Generated: Monday, August 11, 2025

SELECTED VENDOR

VENDOR RECOMMENDED BY THE EVALUATION PROCESS

Vendor	Location
Florida Design Drilling LLC	West Palm Beach, FL

PHASE 1

EVALUATION CRITERIA

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
1A - History of the Proposer	Points Based	25 (2.5% of Total)

Description:

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
1B - Resumes of Key Individuals	Points Based	50 (5% of Total)

Description:

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
1C - Licenses, Certifications and other Documentation	Points Based	25 (2.5% of Total)

Description:

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
1D - Key Subconsultants	Points Based	35 (3.5% of Total)

Description:

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
2A - History of the Proposer	Points Based	25 (2.5% of Total)

Description:

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
2B - Resumes of Key Individuals	Points Based	50 (5% of Total)

Description:

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
2C - Licenses, Certifications and other Documentation	Points Based	25 (2.5% of Total)

Description:

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
2D - Key Subcontractors	Points Based	35 (3.5% of Total)

Description:

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
3A - Start / End Dates	Points Based	25 (2.5% of Total)

Description:

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
3B - Project Description	Points Based	70 (7% of Total)

Description:

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
3C - Completion on Time and on Budget	Points Based	50 (5% of Total)

Description:

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
3D - Photo(s)	Points Based	25 (2.5% of Total)

EVALUATION TABULATION

Description:

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
3E - Team Members	Points Based	30 (3% of Total)

Description:

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
3F - Subconsultants / Subcontractors	Points Based	30 (3% of Total)

Description:

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
3G - References	Points Based	30 (3% of Total)

Description:

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
4A - Planning	Points Based	100 (10% of Total)

Description:

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
4B - Schedules	Points Based	90 (9% of Total)

Description:

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
4C - Coordination with the City	Points Based	50 (5% of Total)

Description:

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
4D - Project Challenges	Points Based	60 (6% of Total)

Description:

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
4E - Project Tracking	Points Based	40 (4% of Total)

Description:

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
4F - Document Control	Points Based	40 (4% of Total)

Description:

EVALUATION TABULATION

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
4G - Organizational Chart	Points Based	40 (4% of Total)

Description:

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
State of FL Certified Minority Business Enterprise	Points Based	50 (5% of Total)

Description:

Prime Contracting Entity Only

AGGREGATE SCORES SUMMARY

Vendor	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	Evaluator 5	Total Score (Max Score 1000)
Florida Design Drilling LLC	780	878	930	835	853	855.2
Globaltech	715	862	785	830	860	810.4
Tetra Tech, Inc.	715	825	860	773	865	807.6

VENDOR SCORES BY EVALUATION CRITERIA

Vendor	1A - History of the Proposer Points Based 25 Points (2.5%)	1B - Resumes of Key Individuals Points Based 50 Points (5%)	1C - Licenses, Certifications and other Documentation Points Based 25 Points (2.5%)	1D - Key Subconsultants Points Based 35 Points (3.5%)		2B - Resumes of Key Individuals Points Based 50 Points (5%)	2C - Licenses, Certifications and other Documentation Points Based 25 Points (2.5%)	2D - Key Subcontractors Points Based 35 Points (3.5%)	3A - Start / End Dates Points Based 25 Points (2.5%)
Florida Design Drilling LLC	23.6	46	24	32	24	47	23	33	23
Globaltech	24	44.4	23	32	21	37.2	19.6	27.2	22.6
Tetra Tech, Inc.	23	45	23	31	21.6	41.2	21	24.8	21.6

Vendor	3B - Project Description Points Based 70 Points (7%)	3C - Completion on Time and on Budget Points Based 50 Points (5%)	3D - Photo(s) Points Based 25 Points (2.5%)	3E - Team Members Points Based 30 Points (3%)	3F - Subconsultants / Subcontractors Points Based 30 Points (3%)	3G - References Points Based 30 Points (3%)	4A - Planning Points Based 100 Points (10%)	4B - Schedules Points Based 90 Points (9%)	4C - Coordination with the City Points Based 50 Points (5%)
Florida Design Drilling LLC	62	45	22	26	26	24	90	84	45
Globaltech	59.8	39	23	26	24	26	86	81	44
Tetra Tech, Inc.	63	43.2	23	25	21.4	23	86	83	43

Vendor	4D - Project Challenges Points Based 60 Points (6%)	4E - Project Tracking Points Based 40 Points (4%)	4F - Document Control Points Based 40 Points (4%)	4G - Organizational Chart Points Based 40 Points (4%)	State of FL Certified Minority Business Enterprise Points Based 50 Points (5%)	Total Score (Max Score 1000)
Florida Design Drilling LLC	50	37	33.6	35	0	855.2
Globaltech	49.6	34	34	33	0	810.4
Tetra Tech, Inc.	48	33	31	32.8	0	807.6

INDIVIDUAL PROPOSAL SCORES

Florida Design Drilling LLC
1A - History of the Proposer Points Based 25 Points (2.5%)
Evaluator 1: 20
Extensive History
Evaluator 2: 25
Provided expansive history.
Evaluator 3: 25
Extensive grant experience noted.
Evaluator 4: 25
Long history 30/100 years * Reinforced the importance of relationships.
Evaluator 5: 23
Multi Discipline Company

1B - Resumes of Key Individuals | Points Based | 50 Points (5%)

Design-Build Services for Eight (8) Wells and the Raw Water Main on Discovery Way

Evaluator 1: 40
Provided all resumes
Evaluator 2: 50
Resumes attached for key individuals.
Evaluator 3: 50
Raw water main and well experience noted. Some water plant experience listed - may prove useful.
Evaluator 4: 40
Experienced
Evaluator 5: 50

Contractor lead

1C - Licenses, Certifications and oth	ner Documentation Points Based 25 Points (2.5%)
	Evaluator 1: 20
Provided Licenses & certifications	
	Evaluator 2: 25
Licenses and Certifications attached.	
	Evaluator 3: 25
Provided licenses/certifications.	
	Evaluator 4: 25
Well licensed * Have a licensed plant operator on team	
	Evaluator 5: 25
Satisfactory	

1D - Key Subconsultants | Points Based | 35 Points (3.5%)

Evaluator 1: 30

EVALUATION TABULATION

Provide subconsultants with an extensive history

Evaluator 2: 35

A list of Key sub-consultants was attached.

Evaluator 3: 35

Sub-consultants appropriately listed. Sub-consultants McNabb-Miller comes with extensive well drilling experience.

Evaluator 4: 30

Established consultants

Evaluator 5: 30

Most integrated team. Pipe line company to be bid.

2A - History of the	Proposer	Points Based	25 Points ((2.5%)

Evaluator 1: 20

Extensive history

Evaluator 2: 25

Work history provided.

Evaluator 3: 25

Design/Build experience noted.

Evaluator 4: 25

Long history

Evaluator 5: 25

Satisfactory

2B - Resumes of Key Individuals | Points Based | 50 Points (5%)

Evaluator 1: 40

Provided resumes

EVALUATION TABULATION

	Evaluator 2: 50
Resumes submitted.	
	Evaluator 3: 50
Extensive experience.	
	Evaluator 4: 45
Experienced	
	Evaluator 5: 50
Satisfactory	

2C - Licenses, Certification	s and other Documentation Points Based 25 Points (2.5	%)
	Evaluator 1: 20	
Provides licenses & certifications		
	Evaluator 2: 25	
Documentation provided.		
	Evaluator 3: 25	
Provided licenses/certifications.		
	Evaluator 4: 20	
Heavily licensed		
	Evaluator 5: 25	

2D - Key Subcontractors | Points Based | 35 Points (3.5%)

Evaluator 1: 30

Provided list of subcontractors with an extensive history of similar jobs

Evaluator 2: 35

EVALUATION TABULATION

undefined - Design-Build Services for Eight (8) Wells and the Raw Water Main on Discovery Way

Satisfactory

Design-Build Services for Eight (8) Wells and the Raw Water Main on Discovery Way

Sub Contractors provided.

Evaluator 3: 35

Teamed with a public relations firm - could prove to be extremely useful. Has already listed drilling firms with whom they have partnered for the project, as well as other subs, which shows a great deal of due diligence.

Evaluator 4: 30

Experienced

Evaluator 5: 35

Driller company does all underground construction. Most sub contractors have been selected.

Evaluator 1: 20

Provided start and end dates

Evaluator 2: 25

Schedule meets RFQ request.

Evaluator 3: 25

Provided.

Evaluator 4: 20

Timely

Evaluator 5: 25

Satisfactory

3B - Project Description | Points Based | 70 Points (7%)

Evaluator 1: 60

Extensive project description with suggestions and alternatives

Evaluator 2: 60

EVALUATION TABULATION

Design-Build Services for Eight (8) Wells and the Raw Water Main on Discovery Way

Project description provided, options of proceeding identified strategically, and potential cost savings identified. Discussion of casing sizes (24" oversized)

Evaluator 3: 70

Experience with design/build noted, as well as raw water main installation.

Evaluator 4: 60

Detailed

Evaluator 5: 60

Individual well and raw main projects

3C - Completion on Time and on Budget | Points Based | 50 Points (5%)

Evaluator 1: 40

Completed jobs on time with some being under budget

Evaluator 2: 45

Schedule suggests that this will be completed on course with RFQ, and list potential budget savings, but also the 1 reference mentioned that a project was not completed on time. Seacoast f-9, some projects also referenced "ongoing". Others also referenced savings, while some others mentioned CO mostly due to owner desire to alter scope or improve.

Evaluator 3: 45

Seacoast Well F9 noted as not completed on time. Would be interested to see why project was not completed on time. Would note that the budgets for the listed projects are significantly smaller than the solicitation project. Very few change orders shown.

Evaluator 4: 45

Within time frame

Evaluator 5: 50

Satisfactory

3D - Photo(s) | Points Based | 25 Points (2.5%)

Evaluator 1: 15

EVALUATION TABULATION

Design-Build Services for Eight (8) Wells and the Raw Water Main on Discovery Way

Provided some photos

Evaluator 2: 25

Multiple pictures used in proposal from completed or ongoing projects.

Evaluator 3: 25

Photos provided.

Evaluator 4: 20

Detailed

Evaluator 5: 25

Satisfactory

3E - Team Members | Points Based | 30 Points (3%)

Evaluator 1: 20

Provided lists of all team members

Evaluator 2: 25

Appears to have all necessary team members, skilled appropriately, and experienced.

Evaluator 3: 30

Projects where proposed team as collaborated noted.

Evaluator 4: 25

Qualified

Evaluator 5: 30

Satisfactory

3F - Subconsultants / Subcontractors | Points Based | 30 Points (3%)

Evaluator 1: 20

Provided lists of subcontractors & subconsultants

EVALUATION TABULATION

Design-Build Services for Eight (8) Wells and the Raw Water Main on Discovery Way

Evaluator 2: 30

Listed subs, experience noted.

Evaluator 3: 25

Would have liked to see more information on subs, such as controls, electrical, etc. Have the proposed subs worked on any of the projects noted? *Subconsultant for public outreach would prove extremely useful and take burden off City staff. *Listed subs for controls, electrical, three potential pipeline companies.

Evaluator 4: 25

Experienced

Evaluator 5: 30

Public relations sub

3G - References | Points Based | 30 Points (3%)

Evaluator 1: 20

Provided references

Evaluator 2: 25

References noted majority completed on time and within budget, with the exception of one project not completed on time. Also noted most CO were due to owner requested changes. Some cost savings were also noted on the references.

Evaluator 3: 30

All references noted.

Evaluator 4: 20

Good references

Evaluator 5: 25

No letters

4A - Planning | Points Based | 100 Points (10%)

Evaluator 1: 90

EVALUATION TABULATION

Design-Build Services for Eight (8) Wells and the Raw Water Main on Discovery Way

Extensive planning, very detailed

Evaluator 2: 80

Planning of approach to lessen the disruption of newly paved roads and existing utilities was considered. Material pros considered, as well as potential savings by city direct purchase of bulk items. EX: piping, generators, materials.

Evaluator 3: 100

Extremely thorough write-up of the process. Includes visuals and exhibits to further clarify information provided in the planning section. Appreciate the options for backup power supply. This has been a concern given the locations of the wells in residential neighborhoods. Seeing the positives and negatives laid out is helpful. Also useful to see the proposed idea of a single unit to feed all wells has been done in Seacoast by HCE. Hydraulic analysis of raw water main noted - City had some ideas, but ultimately will rely on the design firms feedback. ODP noted as a cost savings measure. Overall, the proposal is very much tailored to this project and is not cut and paste. The effort and due diligence is noted.

Evaluator 4: 95

Vision planning

Evaluator 5: 85

Resourced PM Plan including Public involvement, Parallel drilling rigs, owner direct purchase materials, grant funding identified, raw main concept sketches included, and water disposal alternatives concept by constructing water main earlier. Test casing depth sets mythology.

4B - Schedules Points Based 90 Points (s (9%)
Evaluator 1: 80	
Provided detailed schedules	
Evaluator 2: 80	
Proposed schedule meets requirements if followed.	
Evaluator 3: 90	

Gantt chart provided with specific dates. F-26 would be a possible 9th well, but was included in the chart, which is a nice touch to see how we could squeeze in the additional well if the City decides to proceed. Extremely detailed schedule.

EVALUATION TABULATION

Design-Build Services for Eight (8) Wells and the Raw Water Main on Discovery Way

Evaluator 4: 85

Good methodology

Evaluator 5: 85

Drop box, Procore software, on line webpage. Deployment of two drill rigs.

4C - Coordination with the City | Points Based | 50 Points (5%)

Evaluator 1: 40

Provided other city Depatments they will be working with

Evaluator 2: 45

Coordination with city staff has been thought about with regards to scheduling, progress meetings, locates and purchasing.

Evaluator 3: 50

As previously noted, the proposer is including a public relations firm with their team. The team can build a website to showcase the project to the public. The team also proposes to have a hotline for the public. Overall, this appears to take much of the outreach burden off the City and put it back on the design/build team and is a nice, if unexpected, addition. Would like to see more from this firm and the work that they have done elsewhere.

Evaluator 4: 45

Works well

Evaluator 5: 45

Look at impacted stakeholders, Public Information Plan, and Merchant Strategy independent project

4D - Project Challenges | Points Based | 60 Points (6%)

Evaluator 1: 50

Described project challenges with solutions

Evaluator 2: 50

Identified multiple challenges, and suggested potential solutions for flushing, drilling, and noise concerns. I dislike the option to have 4160v due to limited city staff experience with this voltage, and potential single points of failure. Some of this was also mentioned by

Design-Build Services for Eight (8) Wells and the Raw Water Main on Discovery Way

proposer as a con, which has been taken into consideration. Proposer noted proceeding with option preferred, this is only suggestions to save costs.

Evaluator 3:50

Would like to see more on noise during construction, as most sites on Discovery abut residential neighborhoods and are quite close to homes. *Pointed out how to do exploratory work early on to determine how to find best water quality.

Evaluator 4: 50

Well experienced

Evaluator 5: 50

Value engineering of water disposal issues, reduce well diameter, owner direct purchase materials, design for less generator purchases

4E - Project Tracking | Points Based | 40 Points (4%)

Evaluator 1: 35

Provide details for project tracking with a live cloud-based system

Evaluator 2: 40

Project tracking software utilization. Progress meetings. Mentions increased production around the clock or additional days if needed.

Evaluator 3: 40

Progress meetings notes.

Evaluator 4: 35

Procore cloud base

Evaluator 5: 35

Well Construction is most costs and will be lead by the driller team effectiveness of tracking the project.

4F - Document Control | Points Based | 40 Points (4%)

Evaluator 1: 35

Provided document control

EVALUATION TABULATION

undefined - Design-Build Services for Eight (8) Wells and the Raw Water Main on Discovery Way

Page 18

Design-Build Services for Eight (8) Wells and the Raw Water Main on Discovery Way

Evaluator 2: 38

project tracking software can store the documentation for review and reference. (I believe, will ask to verify)

Evaluator 3: 40

Procure is a well known project management and document control system. This would enable the City and proposer to streamline communication, documents, RFIs, etc.

Evaluator 4: 35

Well documented

Evaluator 5: 20

Procore software

4G - Organizational Chart | Points Based | 40 Points (4%)

Evaluator 1: 35

Provided organizational charts for all phases of the project

Evaluator 2: 40

Presented and seems functional.

Evaluator 3: 40

Appreciate the breakout between design and construction organization as team will adjust depending on phase.

Evaluator 4: 35

Very clear and organized

Evaluator 5: 25

Multiplex

State of FL Certified Minority Business Enterprise | Points Based | 50 Points (5%)

Evaluator 1: 0

Not a State of FL Certified Minority Business Enterprise.

EVALUATION TABULATION

Design-Build Services for Eight (8) Wells and the Raw Water Main on Discovery Way

Evaluator 2: 0

Not a State of FL Certified Minority Business Enterprise.

Evaluator 3: 0

Not a State of FL Certified Minority Business Enterprise.

Evaluator 4: 0

Not a State of FL Certified Minority Business Enterprise.

Evaluator 5: 0

Not a State of FL Certified Minority Business Enterprise.

		L	ltec	1_
	\cap	na.	ITOC	n
U	U	υa	ロしてし	ш

1A - History of the Proposer | Points Based | 25 Points (2.5%)

Evaluator 1: 20

Extensive History

Evaluator 2: 25

Expansive history provided.

Evaluator 3: 25

Extensive design/build experience. Shows ability to bond large scale project.

Evaluator 4: 25

30 years / 60 employees

Evaluator 5: 25

Design-Build Company

1B - Resumes of Key Individuals | Points Based | 50 Points (5%)

Evaluator 1: 40

EVALUATION TABULATION

All Resumes included. A lot of experience

Evaluator 2: 47

Resumes submitted, some, more than once.

Evaluator 3: 50

Team shows both well drilling and design/build experience. Members show I&C experience as well.

Evaluator 4: 40

Experienced/educated

Evaluator 5: 45

Consultant lead

1C - Licenses, Certifications and other Documentation | Points Based | 25 Points (2.5%)

Evaluator 1: 20

All Licenses & Certifications submitted

Evaluator 2: 25

Licenses and Certifications provided.

Evaluator 3: 25

Provided licenses/certifications.

Evaluator 4: 20

Well licensed

Evaluator 5: 25

Satisfactory

1D - Key Subconsultants | Points Based | 35 Points (3.5%)

Evaluator 1: 30

Key Subconsultants has a lot of experience

EVALUATION TABULATION

Design-Build Services for Eight (8) Wells and the Raw Water Main on Discovery Way

Evaluator 2: 35

Sub-Consultants documentation provided.

Evaluator 3: 35

Sub-consultants and their background provided.

Evaluator 4: 30

Established consultants

Evaluator 5: 30

Less integrated team, (I&C employee)

2A - History of the Proposer | Points Based | 25 Points (2.5%)

Evaluator 1: 20

Does not list a construction company. Will have to go out for a bid

Evaluator 2: 25

History of proposer provided, multiple letters of recommendation provided, with high regard.

Evaluator 3: 15

Globaltech appears to be a general contractor per the provided documentation. However, this sheet is more of a copy/paste from the first section. There should be a delineation between the design and construction histories as the tabs are clearly labeled.

Evaluator 4: 20

Long history

Evaluator 5: 25

Satisfactory

2B - Resumes of Key Individuals | Points Based | 50 Points (5%)

Evaluator 1: 30

Same resumes as in previous section.

EVALUATION TABULATION

Design-Build Services for Eight (8) Wells and the Raw Water Main on Discovery Way

Evaluator 2: 41

Resumes submitted, with exception of drillers and RWM contractors. Typical Subs used list provided. All Webbs currently, 2 other drillers potentially.

Evaluator 3: 25

No well driller onboard.

Evaluator 4: 40

Experienced

Evaluator 5: 50

Satisfactory

Evaluator 1: 15

Same resumes as in previous section.

Evaluator 2: 23

Submitted, with exception of drillers and RWM contractors. Discussed during Presentation.

Evaluator 3: 15

No well driller onboard.

Evaluator 4: 20

Licensed in different fields

Evaluator 5: 25

Satisfactory

2D - Key Subcontractors | Points Based | 35 Points (3.5%)

Evaluator 1: 20

No current Construction subcontractors. Will have to go out for bid

EVALUATION TABULATION

Evaluator 2: 31

Well drillers are not yet part of the proposal. Proposer plans to bid the drilling and RWM construction projects out separately. Potentially to multiple drillers simultaneously. This has potential to be advantageous, or potentially challenging. I do like the potential to have Multiple JEA Wells drilled within the first year, this could provide relief on the existing wells until the new WTP is in service. All Webbs availability.

Evaluator 3: 20

There is no well driller onboard with this team. This is of concern as well drillers have long lead times and this will not allow the committee to ask questions of the key contractor on this project. Globaltech to perform other work in house, such as wellhead piping, generator, electrical, and I&C. Proposer states they will obtain proposals from drillers and allow the City input on which is selected. This method was expected of the raw water main, but not the drillers. Overall, key contractor is missing, causing concern given the tight timeline. *Would bid out the driller(s) and allow CPSL authority on final selection. *Can self perform some work.

Evaluator 4: 35

Experienced

Evaluator 5: 30

Seven Pre-qualified driller list provided; possible award of multi drillers. Some sub contractors have not been selected. Driller was selected during presentation.

3A - Start / End Dates Points Based 25 Points (2.5%)				
Evaluator 1: 20				
Did not give start dates				
Evaluator 2: 23				
Schedule meets the RFP request. Time for procuring Drillers / Contractors				
Evaluator 3: 25				
Provided				
Evaluator 4: 20				
Timely manner				

Evaluator 5: 25

Satisfactory

3B - Project Description | Points Based | 70 Points (7%)

Evaluator 1: 60

Gave full project description

Evaluator 2: 64

Project description offers some suggestion of moving forward with Multiple drillers simultaneously to address the challenges of time constraint, description mentions public outreach during construction, and use of methods to have the least amount of disturbance to the existing community and utilities. (surface level suggestions)

Evaluator 3: 45

Well drilling project experience between proposer (Globaltech) and sub-consultants, however, the primary listed three projects on which they worked, but the rest of the projects listed were from their sub consultants for this project. Globaltech added further projects that were not well fields further in the document.

Evaluator 4: 60

detailed

Evaluator 5: 70

Relative combined wells & main projects

3C - Completion on Time and on Budget | Points Based | 50 Points (5%)

Evaluator 1: 35

Doesn't say if it was completed on time

Evaluator 2: 45

Schedule suggests that this will be completed on course with RFQ, and list potential budget savings (GMP Reconciliation shared*) Some projects referenced "ongoing". Others also referenced savings, while some others mentioned CO mostly due to owner desire to alter scope or improve.

EVALUATION TABULATION

Design-Build Services for Eight (8) Wells and the Raw Water Main on Discovery Way

Evaluator 3: 25

This was noted in varied formats depending on the firm. Globaltech and Kimley-Horn were clearer than the JLA projects. Again, the proposer was not the firm on all the projects noted. Committee should see what the proposer on this project has accomplished, particularly as the only integrated design/build firm.

Evaluator 4: 40

а

Evaluator 5: 50

Satisfactory

Evaluator 1: 20

Provided photos

Evaluator 2: 25

Multiple photos attached in proposal of previous projects.

Evaluator 3: 25

Provided.

Evaluator 4: 20

Detailed

Evaluator 5: 25

Satisfactory

3E - Team Members | Points Based | 30 Points (3%)

Evaluator 1: 20

Listed team members

Evaluator 2: 25

Design-Build Services for Eight (8) Wells and the Raw Water Main on Discovery Way

Team members accounted for are appropriately skilled, and capable. Deduction only due to no drillers committed yet. All Webbs attended meeting, looking to bring on a second driller if funding allows from COPSL

Evaluator 3: 30

Provided.

Evaluator 4: 25

Qualified and experienced

Evaluator 5: 30

Satisfactory

3F - Subconsultants / Subcontractors | Points Based | 30 Points (3%)

Evaluator 1: 20

Listed some subcontractors

Evaluator 2: 25

Deduction only due to no drillers committed yet. All Webb attending meeting.

Evaluator 3: 20

The subconsultants/contractors were not clearly listed. In some cases proposer was the sub, in others their subs were subs to each other. What drillers did the team work with in the past? The proposer is not coming on board with this contractor, but seeing who they have worked with and being able to ask relevant questions regarding their experiences would prove useful. *Would bid out the driller(s) and allow CPSL authority on final selection. *Can self perform some work.

Evaluator 4: 25

Experienced

Evaluator 5: 30

Satisfactory

3G - References | Points Based | 30 Points (3%)

Evaluator 1: 20

EVALUATION TABULATION

Design-Build Services for Eight (8) Wells and the Raw Water Main on Discovery Way

Provided list of references

Evaluator 2: 30

Multiple references attached with high regards. Mention projects completed on time and within budget. Some under budget.

Evaluator 3: 30

Provided.

Evaluator 4: 20

Good references

Evaluator 5: 30

Letters provided

4A - Planning | Points Based | 100 Points (10%)

Evaluator 1: 75

Provided planning

Evaluator 2: 85

Planning of approach to lessen the disruption of newly paved roads and existing utilities was considered. Potential savings by city direct purchase of bulk items GMP Reconciliation sharing mentioned. Plan well thought out. Surety Pricing benefits discussed. Plan for RWM well thought out. ARV spot thought about early. Engaged in the specific project.

Evaluator 3: 85

Appreciate the mention of a risk register and ODP, both of which can save time and money. However, there is still concern over the drillers. Having to contract such a large tag item as the driller, may cause time delays and increase costs to pin down a schedule given the City's tight deadlines. Change orders as noted in Phase 2B take time to process via Procurement and Council. The project flow chart adds a helpful visual. *Highlighted risk register with real world example. *Gave good phases explanation. *Suggested stringing generators to reduce costs, no well houses. *Suggested drilling JEA first.

Evaluator 4: 100

Documented nicely

Fyaluator 5: 85

EVALUATION TABULATION

undefined - Design-Build Services for Eight (8) Wells and the Raw Water Main on Discovery Way

Page 28

Preliminary planning of project validation, communication lines, grant funding, construction execution, at risk activities, pre-qualify driller and complete 30% design, including ideas to shift raw water main earlier phase, and owner direct purchase materials. Phase I will be in two parts; Path 1 to begin drilling and Path 2 raw for raw main design competed ready for construction. The sub-consultant team already has recent JEA plant treatment water quality insight.

4B - Schedules | Points Based | 90 Points (9%)

Evaluator 1:80

Provided schedules, however could be delayed due to materials

Evaluator 2: 75

Proposed schedule meets requirements if followed.

Evaluator 3: 90

Gantt chart provided. Very detailed. The chart includes the potential additional well.

Evaluator 4: 80

Documented scheduling

Fyaluator 5: 80

Detailed work tasks described. The Path 1 and Path 2 precedence diagramming method for critical path tracking are shown.

4C - Coordination with the City | Points Based | 50 Points (5%)

Evaluator 1: 40

Only listed Building department and public. During presentations informed about app

Evaluator 2: 50

Proposal mentions coordination with the city multiple times and references giving the city final say in direction of project.

Evaluator 3: 35

Not much detail given. Very generic. *PublicCoordinate app for public engagement. Includes maps and notifications for residents who sign up.

Evaluator 4: 50

EVALUATION TABULATION

Design-Build Services for Eight (8) Wells and the Raw Water Main on Discovery Way

Works well

Evaluator 5: 45

Risk Register List, Public outreach, P&Z coordination's

4D - Project Challenges | Points Based | 60 Points (6%)

Evaluator 1: 45

Provided challenges with little solution

Evaluator 2: 48

Project expected challenges are noted, solutions to the challenges were not yet suggested. They were mentioned to be discussed with the city for decision making.

Evaluator 3: 50

Appreciate noting that some of this work will be in a residential neighborhood. Reaching out to well drillers proactively is helpful. *Provided chart of long lead items with current time frames.

Evaluator 4: 60

Documented

Evaluator 5: 45

Material delivery lead times, generators and pumps 8 months construction close to residents, water disposal issues, close look at well optimization.

4E - Project Tracking | Points Based | 40 Points (4%)

Evaluator 1: 30

Provided project tracking

Evaluator 2: 40

Document control and scheduling would be handled through Procore software. Tracking of progress would be handled in monthly meetings or more frequently if needed.

Evaluator 3: 35

EVALUATION TABULATION

undefined - Design-Build Services for Eight (8) Wells and the Raw Water Main on Discovery Way

Page 30

Design-Build Services for Eight (8) Wells and the Raw Water Main on Discovery Way

Primavera noted. Regular project meetings.

Evaluator 4: 30

Tracks through various methods

Evaluator 5: 35

KPI measurements are implied with progress meetings.

4F - Document Control | Points Based | 40 Points (4%)

Evaluator 1: 30

Cloud based document control

Evaluator 2: 40

Procore software used. Global tech has used the software specifically, and offers training to city staff that need it.

Evaluator 3: 40

City if familiar with Procore and it's capabilities.

Evaluator 4: 40

Well documented

Evaluator 5: 20

Procore software

4G - Organizational Chart | Points Based | 40 Points (4%)

Evaluator 1: 25

Provided Org chart, nothing for well drilling contractor or pipeline contractor

Evaluator 2: 35

Presented and seems functional, except drillers and RWM contractors

Evaluator 3: 40

Provided.

EVALUATION TABULATION

Design-Build Services for Eight (8) Wells and the Raw Water Main on Discovery Way

	Evaluator 4: 30
Detailed	
	Evaluator 5: 35

Straightforward

State of FL Certified Minority Business Enterprise Points Based 50 Points (5%)					
Evaluator 1: 0					
Not a State of FL Certified Minority Business Enterprise.					
Evaluator 2: 0					
Not a State of FL Certified Minority Business Enterprise.					
Evaluator 3: 0					
Not a State of FL Certified Minority Business Enterprise.					
Evaluator 4: 0					
Not a State of FL Certified Minority Business Enterprise.					
Evaluator 5: 0					
Not a State of FL Certified Minority Business Enterprise.					

	Tetra Tech, Inc.	
	1A - History of the Proposer Points Based 25 Points (2.5%)	
	Evaluator 1: 20	
Extensive History provided		
	Evaluator 2: 25	
Sufficient history provided.		
	Evaluator 3: 25	

Design-Build Services for Eight (8) Wells and the Raw Water Main on Discovery Way

Large firm with well and water treatment plant experience.

Evaluator 4: 2	25

59 years in the business/1966 started

Evaluator 5: 20

Multi Discipline Firm

1B - Resumes of Key Individuals | Points Based | 50 Points (5%)

Evaluator 1: 40

Provided resumes

Evaluator 2: 50

Key resumes provided.

Evaluator 3: 50

Staff shows combination of well and plant experience. Appreciate that the resumes show their specific role in the process in the heading.

Evaluator 4: 40

Experienced in the field

Evaluator 5: 45

Consultant lead

1C - Licenses, Certifications and other Documentation | Points Based | 25 Points (2.5%)

Evaluator 1: 20

Provided Licenses & Certifications

Evaluator 2: 25

provided.

Evaluator 3: 25

EVALUATION TABULATION

EVALUATION TABULATION

GEN No. 20240141

Design-Build Services for Eight (8) Wells and the Raw Water Main on Discovery Way

Provided.

Evaluator 4: 20

Well licensed staff

Evaluator 5: 25

Satisfactory

1D - Key Subconsultants | Points Based | 35 Points (3.5%)

Evaluator 1: 30

Doesn't use subconsultants

Evaluator 2: 35

Sub consultants have sufficient experience and knowledge of Port St. Lucie USD history

Evaluator 3: 35

Tetratech has capability to design well and main in house, including the hydrogeological work.

Evaluator 4: 25

Qualified consultant

Evaluator 5: 30

Least integrated more turn key service

2A - History of the Proposer | Points Based | 25 Points (2.5%)

Evaluator 1: 20

Extensive history

Evaluator 2: 25

Sufficient history provided.

Evaluator 3: 20

Long history. This project appears to be a much larger scale than their typical workload.

EVALUATION TABULATION

undefined - Design-Build Services for Eight (8) Wells and the Raw Water Main on Discovery Way

Page 34

Design-Build Services for Eight (8) Wells and the Raw Water Main on Discovery Way

_							11	•
⊢۱	ıal	Ш	ıa	tΩ	ır	4:	1 >	≺

Experienced

Evaluator 5: 25

Satisfactory

2B - Resumes of Key Individuals | Points Based | 50 Points (5%)

Evaluator 1: 30

Provided resumes for well drillers but not pipeline contractors

Evaluator 2: 36

Key resumes provided other than RWM contractors. I&C, Electrical, and structures

Evaluator 3: 50

Provided.

Evaluator 4: 40

2009, 2018, 2019 years started - 3 key people

Evaluator 5: 50

Satisfactory

2C - Licenses, Certifications and other Documentation | Points Based | 25 Points (2.5%)

Evaluator 1: 15

Provided licenses & certifications. Nothing supplied for pipeline contractor

Evaluator 2: 20

Submitted other than Sub Contractors

Evaluator 3: 25

Provided.

Evaluator 4: 20

EVALUATION TABULATION

undefined - Design-Build Services for Eight (8) Wells and the Raw Water Main on Discovery Way

Page 35

Design-Build Services for Eight (8) Wells and the Raw Water Main on Discovery Way

Licensed

Evaluator 5: 25

Satisfactory

2D - Key Subcontractors | Points Based | 35 Points (3.5%)

Evaluator 1: 20

Only well driller provided. Need subcontractors for electric & instrumentation

Evaluator 2: 19

A lot of work will be done by the proposer and driller, but Proposer plans to bid RWM, Eletrical, I&C, and structural.

Evaluator 3: 30

Appreciate the org chart. Notes that above ground work is in house.

Evaluator 4: 25

Qualified

Evaluator 5: 30

Driller will be constructing all underground utilities. Some construction sub contractors have not been selected.

3A - Start / End Dates | Points Based | 25 Points (2.5%)

Evaluator 1: 15

Provided start & end dates. Start end date dates didn't seem real.

Evaluator 2: 23

schedule meets RFP, with exception of optional well.

Evaluator 3: 25

Provided.

Evaluator 4: 20

Timely

EVALUATION TABULATION

Design-Build Services for Eight (8) Wells and the Raw Water Main on Discovery Way

Evaluator 5: 25

Satisfactory

3B - Project Description | Points Based | 70 Points (7%)

Evaluator 1: 60

Provided project description

Evaluator 2: 60

Discussion of well discharge is mentioned, and possible solution/Challenges. Existing Utility review, and coordination. Surveys. Begin with 2 wells for JEA first recommendation. Reference well equipment warranties and maximizing the useful term.

Evaluator 3: 70

Appears Tetratech and A.C. Shultes have worked several projects together.

Evaluator 4: 60

Describes in detail on projects

Evaluator 5: 65

Relative larger combined well, raw main, and treatment plant projects. Hydrogeo graph of all pumps the well fields was

3C - Completion on Time and on Budget | Points Based | 50 Points (5%)

Evaluator 1: 40

Most projects completed on-time & in budget

Evaluator 2: 36

Projects completed on time and budget seem to be split. Taken into consideration some projects were a result of owner desired changes.

Evaluator 3: 50

Provided.

Evaluator 4: 40

Works in range of time and budget

EVALUATION TABULATION

undefined - Design-Build Services for Eight (8) Wells and the Raw Water Main on Discovery Way

Page 37

Evaluator 5: 50
Satisfactory
2D
3D - Photo(s) Points Based 25 Points (2.5%)
Evaluator 1: 20
Provided photos
Evaluator 2: 25
Photos attached.
Evaluator 3: 25
Provided.
Evaluator 4: 20
Detailed
Evaluator 5: 25
Satisfactory
3E - Team Members Points Based 30 Points (3%)
Evaluator 1: 20
Provide team members for projects
Evaluator 2: 20
Missing the Subcontractors for certain areas, RWM, Electrical, I&C
Evaluator 3: 30
Provided.
Evaluator 4: 25
Qualified
Evaluator 5: 30

EVALUATION TABULATION

undefined - Design-Build Services for Eight (8) Wells and the Raw Water Main on Discovery Way

Page 38

Satisfactory

3F - Subconsultants / Subcontractors | Points Based | 30 Points (3%)

Evaluator 1: 20

Provided subcontractors in some projects

Evaluator 2: 22

Missing the Subcontractors for certain areas, RWM, Electrical, I&C Plan to use subs that have been successful with the city.

Evaluator 3: 15

Provided. Appears much of the work is done in house. Would have liked to see more information on subs, such as controls, electrical, etc.

Evaluator 4: 20

Qualified in the filed of the water industry.

Evaluator 5: 30

Satisfactory

3G - References | Points Based | 30 Points (3%)

Evaluator 1: 15

Only references were on project description

Evaluator 2: 25

Submitted points of contact, No personal letters submitted.

Evaluator 3: 30

Provided.

Evaluator 4: 20

Good references

Evaluator 5: 25

No letters

4A - Planning | Points Based | 100 Points (10%)

Evaluator 1: 80

Provided planning with alternatives

Evaluator 2: 90

The Plan seems well thought out and described in great detail. Challenges and potential solutions have been listed. Outreach was considered. Flushing can be a challenge, location of furthest wells East. Higher NTU water in homeowners drainage discussed.

Evaluator 3: 75

Would like to have seen more information here. The water discharge issue is definitely of note. Sections were otherwise vague and lacking much information. *Good explanation for drilling JEA wells upfront to meet demands and more easily coordinate given open space. Good recommendation to start further east at RL WTF to obtain early water quality data. *Option to have four rigs at once on expedited schedule. Would be predicated upon funding availability. *Concerned about pushing back raw water main.

Evaluator 4: 90

Vision planning

Evaluator 5: 95

Begin drilling immediately in Phase 1. Plan drill site with how water is discharge. Deploy additional rigs with the end mind of how the raw water integrates with existing Range Line Pump Station; Excellent insight on PSL historical raw water quality since plant designs. Drill the further well first at Range Line get get more of a profile of the new well field.

Schedules Points Based 90 Points (9%)			
Evaluator 1: 80			
Provided schedules with alternatives			
Evaluator 2: 80			
Evaluator 3: 90			
	Evaluator 2: 80		

Provided gantt chart and explanation as to scheduling sequence. The chart includes the potential additional well, with realistic time frame being out further than the required wells.

Evaluator 4: 80

Works through a methods to completed the job effectively.

Evaluator 5: 85

Hard materials GMP order up front for all wells. Electrical, pumps generator GMP ordered in phase for cost savings. Deploy additional well rigs as resources open.

4C - Coordination with the City | Points Based | 50 Points (5%)

Evaluator 1: 40

Will coordinate with many City departments

Evaluator 2: 50

Coordination is covered, and software is used for tracking. Meetings and reviews of appropriate items mentioned.

Evaluator 3: 35

Discovery wells noted as being in residential neighborhoods. Very interesting note about the Riverland pond salinity. The HOA does use their storm water ponds for irrigation purposes. Shows due diligence. Wylder may be issue as well if developer beats City construction.

Evaluator 4: 45

Works well with the city

Evaluator 5: 45

Public outreach, P&Z, PW coordination's, monthly earned value reports

4D - Project Challenges | Points Based | 60 Points (6%)

Fyaluator 1: 45

Didn't see many project challenges except for discharge

Evaluator 2:50

EVALUATION TABULATION

undefined - Design-Build Services for Eight (8) Wells and the Raw Water Main on Discovery Way

Page 41

Challenges were thought out, and some solutions were mentioned. One driller is planned to be used, unless another becomes available.

Evaluator 3: 45

This section seems to repeat some of the teams experience and knowledge within the project area, including some process information. For example, discussing the disinfection and clearance of the well is better suited under planning or schedules. Would like to have seen more specific challenges facing this project. *Discharge seems to a noted challenge, but would like to have seen more of a deep dive into how to address. Q&A did provide some further clarification. *Like ideas to check water quality early as this is an ongoing issue in western PSL. Treat F-26 as exploratory well.

Evaluator 4: 50

Works through challenges well

Evaluator 5: 50

Lesson learn on current well rehabilitation and new construction, casing grout issues identified, and water disposal issues.

4E - Project Tracking | Points Based | 40 Points (4%)

Evaluator 1: 30

Project tracking would be streamlined without subconsultants

Evaluator 2: 40

Software is used for tracking. Meetings and reviews of appropriate items mentioned, with appropriate team members.

Evaluator 3: 35

Meetings and Microsoft Project noted.

Evaluator 4: 30

Tracks projects accordingly

Evaluator 5: 30

Minimized subs use for rapid construction.

4F - Document Control | Points Based | 40 Points (4%)

EVALUATION TABULATION

Evaluator 1: 30

Document control through teams or SharePoint

Evaluator 2: 35

Options discussed, and open to preference. Teams/SharePoint site.Key person named.

Evaluator 3: 35

Microsoft Teams or SharePoint noted.

Evaluator 4: 30

Documented

Evaluator 5: 25

SharePoint Cloud, and QA/QC plan for each deliverable

4G - Organizational Chart | Points Based | 40 Points (4%)

Evaluator 1: 25

Organizational chart does not include pipeline contractor

Evaluator 2: 34

Present and functional, with exception of Sub contractors for RWM, Electrical, I&C, and structural

Evaluator 3: 40

Provided. Appreciate the broad and then more granular org charts.

Evaluator 4: 30

Clear

Evaluator 5: 35

Flat.

State of FL Certified Minority Business Enterprise | Points Based | 50 Points (5%)

Evaluator 1: 0

EVALUATION TABULATION

Not a State of FL Certified Minority Business Enterprise.

	Evaluator 2: 0
Not a State of FL Certified Minority Business Enterprise.	
	Evaluator 3: 0
Not a State of FL Certified Minority Business Enterprise.	
	Evaluator 4: 0
Not a State of FL Certified Minority Business Enterprise.	
	Evaluator 5: 0

Not a State of FL Certified Minority Business Enterprise.