



City of Port St. Lucie
Procurement Management Division
Max Previlon, Procurement Contracting Officer III
121 SW Port St. Lucie Blvd., Port St. Lucie, FL 34984

EVALUATION TABULATION
RFP No. 20250301
HVAC Services - Facilities Maintenance
RESPONSE DEADLINE: March 30, 2026 at 3:30 pm
Report Generated: Tuesday, July 14, 2026

SELECTED VENDORS

VENDORS RECOMMENDED BY THE EVALUATION PROCESS

Vendor	Location
2R Cooling & Refrigeration	Port St. Lucie, FL
Anchor Mechanical, Inc.	Lake Worth, FL
Kuebler Mechanical LLC	Port Saint Lucie, FL

PHASE 1

EVALUATION CRITERIA

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Cost Proposals	0-100 Points	35 (35% of Total)

Description:

Proposers shall complete the cost table provided in **Section 7** of this RFP. It is the sole responsibility of the Proposer to clearly identify and include all costs associated with delivering the services described in the Scope of Work. Cost proposals must encompass, but are not limited to, the following components: direct labor, overhead, fringe benefits, profit, subcontractor costs, and other direct costs (ODCs) such as materials, supplies, applicable taxes, and travel expenses.

Failure to complete the Cost Table provided in **Section 7** will result in the proposal being deemed **non-responsive** and disqualified from further evaluation.

Price Evaluation Methodology

Pricing will be evaluated using a comparative scoring method, as outlined below:

EVALUATION TABULATION
RFP No. 20250301
HVAC Services - Facilities Maintenance

- A. The responsive proposal with the **lowest total proposed price** will receive the **maximum points** allocated to the pricing criteria.
- B. All other responsive proposals will receive a proportionate score based on the following formula:

Price Score = (Lowest Total Proposed Price / Proposer's Total Proposed Price) × Maximum Points Available.

Example:

- Maximum Points: 35
- Lowest Proposed Price (Total hourly rate + total markup): \$500.00
- Vendor A Total Price: \$500 → Score = $(500/500) \times 35 = 35$ points
- Vendor B Total Price: \$700 → Score = $(500/700) \times 35 = 25$ points

Note: Cost proposals submitted in response to this solicitation shall be used solely for evaluation purposes and do not constitute a binding agreement or final pricing commitment.

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Work History / References (Quality of Work)	0-100 Points	25 (25% of Total)

Description:

Scoring Guide- Category B

Criteria	Points	Scoring Guidance
Experience in similar HVAC work	Up to 15	Full points for 5+ years in similar facilities; partial for less or unrelated work
Quality of past performance & references	Up to 10	Full points for 3+ strong references with detailed, positive feedback

Example Scoring:

- Proposer A: 6 years experience, 3 excellent references → **25 points**
- Proposer B: 4 years experience, 2 average references → **18 points**
- Proposer C: 2 years experience, 1 weak reference → **10 points**

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Procedures, Materials, Equipment, and Methods	0-100 Points	15 (15% of Total)

Description:

Scoring Guide

Criteria	Points	Scoring Guidance
Clear description of procedures	Up to 5	Full points for detailed, logical, and compliant methods
Quality and appropriateness of materials	Up to 5	Full points for use of OEM parts, energy-efficient materials, etc.
Equipment and tools	Up to 5	Full points for modern, safe, and well-maintained equipment

Example Scoring:

- Proposer A: Detailed plan, OEM parts, modern tools → **15 points**
- Proposer B: Generic plan, unclear materials → **10 points**
- Proposer C: Vague response, minimal equipment info → **6 points**

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Service Coverage & Support Capacity	0-100 Points	25 (25% of Total)

EVALUATION TABULATION

RFP No. 20250301

HVAC Services - Facilities Maintenance

Description:

The Service Coverage rating evaluation score shall be assigned as follows:

Location	Rating
Maintains staffed operational coverage within 0-20 miles	25
Maintains staffed operational coverage within 20-40 miles	20
Maintains staffed operational coverage within Florida	5

AGGREGATE SCORES SUMMARY

Vendor	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	Evaluator 5	Total Score (Max Score 100)
Anchor Mechanical, Inc.	23.8	24.3	24.6	24.6	24.6	24.35
2R Cooling & Refrigeration	20.7	21.3	19	18.9	20	19.95
Carrier Corporation Excluded	17.3	16.4	16.3	18.6	19.3	17.6
Kuebler Mechanical LLC	16.4	17.5	15.5	18.7	16.3	16.91
Harper Limbach	18.2	13.7	13.6	13.6	13.6	14.52
Cortez Heating & Air	15.1	14.1	14.2	14.3	12.9	14.14
Emprise Partners LLC	10.8	14	13.4	16.4	12	13.3
Hill York Service Company LLC	15.4	12.5	10.6	11.3	15.9	13.14

EVALUATION TABULATION

Request For Proposal (RFP) - HVAC Services - Facilities Maintenance

Page 4

VENDOR SCORES BY EVALUATION CRITERIA

Vendor	Cost Proposals 0-100 Points 35 Points (35%)	Work History / References (Quality of Work) 0-100 Points 25 Points (25%)	Procedures, Materials, Equipment, and Methods 0-100 Points 15 Points (15%)	Service Coverage & Support Capacity 0-100 Points 25 Points (25%)	Total Score (Max Score 100)
Anchor Mechanical, Inc.	31.6	25	13.6	20	24.35
2R Cooling & Refrigeration	35	2.8	5	25	19.95
Carrier Corporation Excluded	16.7	19	13.4	20	17.6
Kuebler Mechanical LLC	19.4	14	2.4	25	16.91
Harper Limbach	24.5	3	1.4	20	14.52
Cortez Heating & Air	24.1	15.6	3.6	5	14.14
Emprise Partners LLC	21	12.4	10.6	5	13.3
Hill York Service Company LLC	17.5	19.2	6.4	5	13.14

INDIVIDUAL PROPOSAL SCORES

2R Cooling & Refrigeration
Cost Proposals 0-100 Points 35 Points (35%)
Evaluator 1: 35
2R Cooling received the maximum score due to submitting the lowest evaluated price.
Evaluator 2: 35
2R Cooling received the maximum score due to submitting the lowest evaluated price.
Evaluator 3: 35

2R Cooling received the maximum score due to submitting the lowest evaluated price.

Evaluator 4: 35

2R Cooling received the maximum score due to submitting the lowest evaluated price.

Evaluator 5: 35

2R Cooling received the maximum score due to submitting the lowest evaluated price.

Work History / References (Quality of Work) | 0-100 Points | 25 Points (25%)

Evaluator 1: 5

No work history or references

Evaluator 2: 8

2R cooling & Refrigeration has been in business for 1 year. I noticed that there are two different addresses. Noted Interpark is the new location but COI is registered at Ithaca. I did not see any references noted. 6 certifications in HVAC specialty noted.

Evaluator 3: 0

Provided no information about years of experience and provided no references. Organization has been in business for 1 year according to the General Information worksheet.

Evaluator 4: 1

1 year in business. No work history. No references.

Evaluator 5: 0

I did not see any references or service history about any other work performed.

Procedures, Materials, Equipment, and Methods | 0-100 Points | 15 Points (15%)

Evaluator 1: 6

Vague response provided..

Evaluator 2: 5

2R cooling & refrigeration gives some description on their preventative maintenance procedures. I noticed the mention of Johnson controls. I did not really see a list of equipment / tools that they use.

EVALUATION TABULATION

RFP No. 20250301

HVAC Services - Facilities Maintenance

Evaluator 3: 3

Non-Specific description of procedures, materials, and equipment. Proposal does specify the companies capabilities, and the presence of staff and trucks stocked with equipment and components for rapid response.

Evaluator 4: 1

No procedures listed. No description of materials used. Only a generic mention of tools used.

Evaluator 5: 10

there is no comments about equipment and tools.

Service Coverage & Support Capacity | 0-100 Points | 25 Points (25%)

Evaluator 1: 25

0–20 miles

Evaluator 2: 25

0–20 miles

Evaluator 3: 25

0–20 miles

Evaluator 4: 25

0–20 miles

Evaluator 5: 25

0–20 miles

Anchor Mechanical, Inc.

Cost Proposals | 0-100 Points | 35 Points (35%)

Evaluator 1: 31.61

The lowest responsive total price was submitted by 2R Cooling & Refrigeration, establishing the baseline for scoring. All other vendors received proportionate scores based on the formula. Price totals were calculated using the labor quantities, unit rates, and markup percentages provided in the bid tally.

Evaluator 2: 31.61

The lowest responsive total price was submitted by 2R Cooling & Refrigeration, establishing the baseline for scoring. All other vendors received proportionate scores based on the formula. Price totals were calculated using the labor quantities, unit rates, and markup percentages provided in the bid tally.

Evaluator 3: 31.61

The lowest responsive total price was submitted by 2R Cooling & Refrigeration, establishing the baseline for scoring. All other vendors received proportionate scores based on the formula. Price totals were calculated using the labor quantities, unit rates, and markup percentages provided in the bid tally.

Evaluator 4: 31.61

The lowest responsive total price was submitted by 2R Cooling & Refrigeration, establishing the baseline for scoring. All other vendors received proportionate scores based on the formula. Price totals were calculated using the labor quantities, unit rates, and markup percentages provided in the bid tally.

Evaluator 5: 31.61

The lowest responsive total price was submitted by 2R Cooling & Refrigeration, establishing the baseline for scoring. All other vendors received proportionate scores based on the formula. Price totals were calculated using the labor quantities, unit rates, and markup percentages provided in the bid tally.

Work History / References (Quality of Work) | 0-100 Points | 25 Points (25%)

Evaluator 1: 25

Strong references and years of service

Evaluator 2: 25

Anchor Mechanical has excellent references from the St Lucie County School district and other entities with similar work parameters.

Evaluator 3: 25

Proposer exceeds required years of experience. Proposal includes references and examples of work from both the headquarters location in Illinois, as well as local references for Florida Municipalities. Overall references are very positive and detailed.

Evaluator 4: 25

More than 5 years experience. 3 strong references.

Evaluator 5: 25

They demonstrate experience and good references .

Procedures, Materials, Equipment, and Methods | 0-100 Points | 15 Points (15%)

Evaluator 1: 10

Plan without much info.

Evaluator 2: 13

Anchor Mechanical has clear procedures to execute service requests.

Evaluator 3: 15

Proposal includes detailed descriptions of procedures, equipment, and materials - including specific components and manufacturers.

Evaluator 4: 15

Fully compliant response.

Evaluator 5: 15

They demonstrate experience and meets the requirements.

Service Coverage & Support Capacity | 0-100 Points | 25 Points (25%)

Evaluator 1: 20

54–55 miles driving, but 38 miles straight-line.

Evaluator 2: 20

54–55 miles driving, but 38 miles straight-line.

Evaluator 3: 20

54–55 miles driving, but 38 miles straight-line.

Evaluator 4: 20

54–55 miles driving, but 38 miles straight-line.

Evaluator 5: 20

54–55 miles driving, but 38 miles straight-line.

Cortez Heating & Air

Cost Proposals | 0-100 Points | 35 Points (35%)

Evaluator 1: 24.13

The lowest responsive total price was submitted by 2R Cooling & Refrigeration, establishing the baseline for scoring. All other vendors received proportionate scores based on the formula. Price totals were calculated using the labor quantities, unit rates, and markup percentages provided in the bid tally.

Evaluator 2: 24.13

The lowest responsive total price was submitted by 2R Cooling & Refrigeration, establishing the baseline for scoring. All other vendors received proportionate scores based on the formula. Price totals were calculated using the labor quantities, unit rates, and markup percentages provided in the bid tally.

Evaluator 3: 24.13

The lowest responsive total price was submitted by 2R Cooling & Refrigeration, establishing the baseline for scoring. All other vendors received proportionate scores based on the formula. Price totals were calculated using the labor quantities, unit rates, and markup percentages provided in the bid tally.

Evaluator 4: 24.13

The lowest responsive total price was submitted by 2R Cooling & Refrigeration, establishing the baseline for scoring. All other vendors received proportionate scores based on the formula. Price totals were calculated using the labor quantities, unit rates, and markup percentages provided in the bid tally.

Evaluator 5: 24.13

The lowest responsive total price was submitted by 2R Cooling & Refrigeration, establishing the baseline for scoring. All other vendors received proportionate scores based on the formula. Price totals were calculated using the labor quantities, unit rates, and markup percentages provided in the bid tally.

Work History / References (Quality of Work) | 0-100 Points | 25 Points (25%)

Evaluator 1: 18

Only one reference confirmed.

Evaluator 2: 17

Cortez Heating has plentiful experience in the required field of work. The vendor does provide references but the references did not provide letters of recommendation.

Evaluator 3: 15

Work History and References do not meet format requirements. References do not include recommendation letters. Years of experience exceeds criteria, and references do include other government entities.

Evaluator 4: 18

Experience is compliant. References are incomplete.

Evaluator 5: 10

they dont show experience working with chillers or bas controls.

Procedures, Materials, Equipment, and Methods | 0-100 Points | 15 Points (15%)

Evaluator 1: 6

limited info

Evaluator 2: 1

Cortez does not provide a clear procedure protocol, materials used, or tools. They gave a broad response with minimal details.

Evaluator 3: 5

The Procedure, Materials, Equipment, and Methods pages mostly consists of information that is irrelevant to the evaluation criteria. No description of parts utilized, equipment utilized outside of vehicles, or service call communication procedures.

Evaluator 4: 1

No detailed information provided.

Evaluator 5: 5

there is no information about parts, or specific service required.

Service Coverage & Support Capacity | 0-100 Points | 25 Points (25%)

Evaluator 1: 5

Within Florida.

Evaluator 2: 5

Within Florida.

Evaluator 3: 5

Within Florida.

Evaluator 4: 5

Within Florida.

Evaluator 5: 5

Within Florida.

Emprise Partners LLC

Cost Proposals | 0-100 Points | 35 Points (35%)

Evaluator 1: 21.02

The lowest responsive total price was submitted by 2R Cooling & Refrigeration, establishing the baseline for scoring. All other vendors received proportionate scores based on the formula. Price totals were calculated using the labor quantities, unit rates, and markup percentages provided in the bid tally.

Evaluator 2: 21.02

The lowest responsive total price was submitted by 2R Cooling & Refrigeration, establishing the baseline for scoring. All other vendors received proportionate scores based on the formula. Price totals were calculated using the labor quantities, unit rates, and markup percentages provided in the bid tally.

Evaluator 3: 21.02

The lowest responsive total price was submitted by 2R Cooling & Refrigeration, establishing the baseline for scoring. All other vendors received proportionate scores based on the formula. Price totals were calculated using the labor quantities, unit rates, and markup percentages provided in the bid tally.

Evaluator 4: 21.02

The lowest responsive total price was submitted by 2R Cooling & Refrigeration, establishing the baseline for scoring. All other vendors received proportionate scores based on the formula. Price totals were calculated using the labor quantities, unit rates, and markup percentages provided in the bid tally.

Evaluator 5: 21.02

The lowest responsive total price was submitted by 2R Cooling & Refrigeration, establishing the baseline for scoring. All other vendors received proportionate scores based on the formula. Price totals were calculated using the labor quantities, unit rates, and markup percentages provided in the bid tally.

Work History / References (Quality of Work) | 0-100 Points | 25 Points (25%)

Evaluator 1: 5

Cannot verify bidder references

Evaluator 2: 15

Emprise is a contractor that manages KB cooling. KB Cooling has been in business since 2015. The references portion is not in the required format.

Evaluator 3: 10

Work History and References do not meet format requirements. References do not include recommendation letters. Sub-Contractor identified in proposal exceeds criteria in years of experience, but work history and references do not include government entities.

Evaluator 4: 22

Offers similar experience. References are listed but there is no feedback from these references provided.

Evaluator 5: 10

it doesnt show experience on chillers or BAS controls

Procedures, Materials, Equipment, and Methods | 0-100 Points | 15 Points (15%)

Evaluator 1: 6

Use of Sub contractors to perform work

Evaluator 2: 11

A clear description of procedures was written on how KB cooling handles service calls. A deeper description of tools and equipment would help paint a clearer picture.

Evaluator 3: 15

Proposal includes detailed plan of Sub-Contractor procedures, materials, equipment, and tools, which meet evaluation criteria.

Evaluator 4: 15

Fully compliant.

Evaluator 5: 6

they do not provide oem especs.

Service Coverage & Support Capacity | 0-100 Points | 25 Points (25%)

Evaluator 1: 5

Within Florida.

Evaluator 2: 5

Within Florida.

Evaluator 3: 5

Within Florida.

Evaluator 4: 5

Within Florida.

Evaluator 5: 5

Within Florida.

Harper Limbach

Cost Proposals | 0-100 Points | 35 Points (35%)

Evaluator 1: 24.45

The lowest responsive total price was submitted by 2R Cooling & Refrigeration, establishing the baseline for scoring. All other vendors received proportionate scores based on the formula. Price totals were calculated using the labor quantities, unit rates, and markup percentages provided in the bid tally.

Evaluator 2: 24.45

The lowest responsive total price was submitted by 2R Cooling & Refrigeration, establishing the baseline for scoring. All other vendors received proportionate scores based on the formula. Price totals were calculated using the labor quantities, unit rates, and markup percentages provided in the bid tally.

Evaluator 3: 24.45

The lowest responsive total price was submitted by 2R Cooling & Refrigeration, establishing the baseline for scoring. All other vendors received proportionate scores based on the formula. Price totals were calculated using the labor quantities, unit rates, and markup percentages provided in the bid tally.

Evaluator 4: 24.45

The lowest responsive total price was submitted by 2R Cooling & Refrigeration, establishing the baseline for scoring. All other vendors received proportionate scores based on the formula. Price totals were calculated using the labor quantities, unit rates, and markup percentages provided in the bid tally.

Evaluator 5: 24.45

The lowest responsive total price was submitted by 2R Cooling & Refrigeration, establishing the baseline for scoring. All other vendors received proportionate scores based on the formula. Price totals were calculated using the labor quantities, unit rates, and markup percentages provided in the bid tally.

Work History / References (Quality of Work) | 0-100 Points | 25 Points (25%)

Evaluator 1: 15

No reference documentation

Evaluator 2: 0

I did not see any work history for Harper Limbach. Also, did not see any references uploaded.

Evaluator 3: 0

Proposal does not include work history or references.

Evaluator 4: 0

No work history provided. No references provided.

Evaluator 5: 0

it doesnt provide information about reference and work history.

Procedures, Materials, Equipment, and Methods | 0-100 Points | 15 Points (15%)

Evaluator 1: 6

No documentation provided

Evaluator 2: 1

Harper did not provide their procedures, materials used, or tools in this proposal. Harper vaguely stated that they conduct preventative maintenance and emergency services.

Evaluator 3: 0

Proposal does not include any specifications regarding procedures, equipment, materials, and methods.

Evaluator 4: 0

No procedures provided. No materials provided. No equipment and tools provided.

Evaluator 5: 0

none information

Service Coverage & Support Capacity | 0-100 Points | 25 Points (25%)

Evaluator 1: 20

54–55 miles driving, but 38 miles straight-line.

Evaluator 2: 20

54–55 miles driving, but 38 miles straight-line.

Evaluator 3: 20

54–55 miles driving, but 38 miles straight-line.

Evaluator 4: 20

54–55 miles driving, but 38 miles straight-line.

Evaluator 5: 20

54–55 miles driving, but 38 miles straight-line.

Hill York Service Company LLC

Cost Proposals | 0-100 Points | 35 Points (35%)

Evaluator 1: 17.52

The lowest responsive total price was submitted by 2R Cooling & Refrigeration, establishing the baseline for scoring. All other vendors received proportionate scores based on the formula. Price totals were calculated using the labor quantities, unit rates, and markup percentages provided in the bid tally.

Evaluator 2: 17.52

The lowest responsive total price was submitted by 2R Cooling & Refrigeration, establishing the baseline for scoring. All other vendors received proportionate scores based on the formula. Price totals were calculated using the labor quantities, unit rates, and markup percentages provided in the bid tally.

Evaluator 3: 17.52

The lowest responsive total price was submitted by 2R Cooling & Refrigeration, establishing the baseline for scoring. All other vendors received proportionate scores based on the formula. Price totals were calculated using the labor quantities, unit rates, and markup percentages provided in the bid tally.

Evaluator 4: 17.52

The lowest responsive total price was submitted by 2R Cooling & Refrigeration, establishing the baseline for scoring. All other vendors received proportionate scores based on the formula. Price totals were calculated using the labor quantities, unit rates, and markup percentages provided in the bid tally.

Evaluator 5: 17.52

The lowest responsive total price was submitted by 2R Cooling & Refrigeration, establishing the baseline for scoring. All other vendors received proportionate scores based on the formula. Price totals were calculated using the labor quantities, unit rates, and markup percentages provided in the bid tally.

Work History / References (Quality of Work) | 0-100 Points | 25 Points (25%)

Evaluator 1: 25

Strong Reference and years of service

Evaluator 2: 18

Hill York has many years of HVAC experience. They did provide references, but lacked contact information to verify the scope of work performed at the various locations.

Evaluator 3: 13

Work History and References do not meet format requirements. References do not include recommendation letters. Years of experience exceeds criteria. References do not include other government entities.

Evaluator 4: 15

More than 5 years experience. No references provided.

Evaluator 5: 25

Good references and work history

Procedures, Materials, Equipment, and Methods | 0-100 Points | 15 Points (15%)

EVALUATION TABULATION

RFP No. 20250301

HVAC Services - Facilities Maintenance

Evaluator 1: 12

Limited info on procedures, use of OEM parts and equipment

Evaluator 2: 4

Hill York has provided some information on their procedures for reporting to the customer on the HVAC issue. The vendor did not provide any information on the materials used for the repairs or tools used to complete work.

Evaluator 3: 0

Proposal does not include any specifications regarding procedures, equipment, materials, and methods.

Evaluator 4: 1

No response to these criteria.

Evaluator 5: 15

Modern tool equipment and good strategy.

Service Coverage & Support Capacity | 0-100 Points | 25 Points (25%)

Evaluator 1: 5

Within Florida.

Evaluator 2: 5

Within Florida.

Evaluator 3: 5

Within Florida.

Evaluator 4: 5

Within Florida.

Evaluator 5: 5

Within Florida.

Kuebler Mechanical LLC

Cost Proposals | 0-100 Points | 35 Points (35%)

Evaluator 1: 19.42

The lowest responsive total price was submitted by 2R Cooling & Refrigeration, establishing the baseline for scoring. All other vendors received proportionate scores based on the formula. Price totals were calculated using the labor quantities, unit rates, and markup percentages provided in the bid tally.

Evaluator 2: 19.42

The lowest responsive total price was submitted by 2R Cooling & Refrigeration, establishing the baseline for scoring. All other vendors received proportionate scores based on the formula. Price totals were calculated using the labor quantities, unit rates, and markup percentages provided in the bid tally.

Evaluator 3: 19.42

The lowest responsive total price was submitted by 2R Cooling & Refrigeration, establishing the baseline for scoring. All other vendors received proportionate scores based on the formula. Price totals were calculated using the labor quantities, unit rates, and markup percentages provided in the bid tally.

Evaluator 4: 19.42

The lowest responsive total price was submitted by 2R Cooling & Refrigeration, establishing the baseline for scoring. All other vendors received proportionate scores based on the formula. Price totals were calculated using the labor quantities, unit rates, and markup percentages provided in the bid tally.

Evaluator 5: 19.42

The lowest responsive total price was submitted by 2R Cooling & Refrigeration, establishing the baseline for scoring. All other vendors received proportionate scores based on the formula. Price totals were calculated using the labor quantities, unit rates, and markup percentages provided in the bid tally.

Work History / References (Quality of Work) | 0-100 Points | 25 Points (25%)

Evaluator 1: 10

No References or work history

EVALUATION TABULATION

RFP No. 20250301

HVAC Services - Facilities Maintenance

Evaluator 2: 18

Kuebler has 20 years of HVAC experience. The vendor has provided some past work experience but did not provide any contacts to verify.

Evaluator 3: 10

Work History and References do not meet format requirements. References do not include recommendation letters. Years of experience exceeds criteria, and references do include other government entities.

Evaluator 4: 22

Fully compliant. References are provided, but there are no feedback from the references.

Evaluator 5: 10

only shows reference for ac equipment ,none for chillers or ventilation equipment.

Procedures, Materials, Equipment, and Methods | 0-100 Points | 15 Points (15%)

Evaluator 1: 6

No to limited info.

Evaluator 2: 0

Kuebler did not provide their procedures, materials used in their services, or tools utilized by technicians.

Evaluator 3: 0

Proposal does not include any specifications regarding procedures, equipment, materials, and methods.

Evaluator 4: 1

Not addressed in the proposal.

Evaluator 5: 5

poor description of the methods and quality.

Service Coverage & Support Capacity | 0-100 Points | 25 Points (25%)

Evaluator 1: 25

0–20 miles

EVALUATION TABULATION

Request For Proposal (RFP) - HVAC Services - Facilities Maintenance

Page 21

	Evaluator 2: 25
0–20 miles	
	Evaluator 3: 25
0–20 miles	
	Evaluator 4: 25
0–20 miles	
	Evaluator 5: 25
0–20 miles	

Carrier Corporation
(Excluded)

Cost Proposals | 0-100 Points | 35 Points (35%)

Evaluator 1: 16.7
The lowest responsive total price was submitted by 2R Cooling & Refrigeration, establishing the baseline for scoring. All other vendors received proportionate scores based on the formula. Price totals were calculated using the labor quantities, unit rates, and markup percentages provided in the bid tally.
Evaluator 2: 16.7
The lowest responsive total price was submitted by 2R Cooling & Refrigeration, establishing the baseline for scoring. All other vendors received proportionate scores based on the formula. Price totals were calculated using the labor quantities, unit rates, and markup percentages provided in the bid tally.
Evaluator 3: 16.7
The lowest responsive total price was submitted by 2R Cooling & Refrigeration, establishing the baseline for scoring. All other vendors received proportionate scores based on the formula. Price totals were calculated using the labor quantities, unit rates, and markup percentages provided in the bid tally.

EVALUATION TABULATION

RFP No. 20250301

HVAC Services - Facilities Maintenance

Evaluator 4: 16.7

The lowest responsive total price was submitted by 2R Cooling & Refrigeration, establishing the baseline for scoring. All other vendors received proportionate scores based on the formula. Price totals were calculated using the labor quantities, unit rates, and markup percentages provided in the bid tally.

Evaluator 5: 16.7

The lowest responsive total price was submitted by 2R Cooling & Refrigeration, establishing the baseline for scoring. All other vendors received proportionate scores based on the formula. Price totals were calculated using the labor quantities, unit rates, and markup percentages provided in the bid tally.

Work History / References (Quality of Work) | 0-100 Points | 25 Points (25%)

Evaluator 1: 20

only one reference verity

Evaluator 2: 15

Carrier has vast experience in HVAC work with plenty of years of experience. The required references were not provided.

Evaluator 3: 13

Work History and References do not meet format requirements. Proposer's work history examples heavily features work on chiller equipment, with only one example of Split System and RTU work. References do not include recommendation letters.

Evaluator 4: 22

Very experienced. 6 references provided, but no detail has been provided.

Evaluator 5: 25

They show good work history and references.

Procedures, Materials, Equipment, and Methods | 0-100 Points | 15 Points (15%)

Evaluator 1: 10

Satisfactory plan

Evaluator 2: 12

EVALUATION TABULATION

Request For Proposal (RFP) - HVAC Services - Facilities Maintenance

Page 23

Carrier outlined their procedures and describes their schedule on how they complete preventative maintenance on HVAC equipment.

Evaluator 3: 15

Proposal includes detailed information regarding procedures and methods, including personnel and environmental safety, as well as descriptions of parts and equipment utilized in service.

Evaluator 4: 15

Fully compliant.

Evaluator 5: 15

they meets the work perform requirements and provided good information about the manufacture specs.

Service Coverage & Support Capacity | 0-100 Points | 25 Points (25%)

Evaluator 1: 20

54–55 miles driving, but 38 miles straight-line.

Evaluator 2: 20

54–55 miles driving, but 38 miles straight-line.

Evaluator 3: 20

54–55 miles driving, but 38 miles straight-line.

Evaluator 4: 20

54–55 miles driving, but 38 miles straight-line.

Evaluator 5: 20

54–55 miles driving, but 38 miles straight-line.